CC TO JUDGE W

1 2 3

Chief Judge Coughenour

FILED

_ENTERED

SEP 3 D 2002

Z KN

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHING

GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING

) (1881/18) 8)) | 11 (1886 | 180/18)) | 11 | 118 | 1184 | 11 | 11 | 18 | 1884 | 120) | 11 | 11 | 12 | 180) | 1881/8) 8(18) 8(10) 8(10) 8(10) | 11 | 15) 118/8 8(1886)) | 1881

CR 00-00550 #00000163

8

9

11

13

14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

NO. CR00-550C

12

VASILIY GORSHKOV, a/k/a Vassili Gorchkov, a/k/a "kvakın."

v.

MEMORANDUM

15

16

17

18

19

20

The United States of America, by and through John McKay, United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington, and Floyd G. Short, Assistant United States Attorney for the District, respectfully submits the following sentencing memorandum. Sentencing is scheduled for October 4, 2002.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I. INTRODUCTION

Defendant Vasiliy Gorshkov is before the Court for sentencing following his conviction at trial on one count of conspiracy and 19 counts of substantive crimes involving computer intrusions, computer extortions, and wire fraud. Trial began on September 19, 2001, and concluded on October 9, 2001, when the jury convicted defendant on all counts.

In essence, defendant participated in a scheme with Alexey Ivanov and others in which the conspirators hacked into computers in the United States and elsewhere, stole credit card information and other sensitive information from those computers, extorted victims by

63

destroying the victims' computers and data, and employed the stolen credit card data in a fraud scheme involving eBay and PayPal to generate fraudulent financial transactions and obtain computer goods and other parts that they purchased with stolen credit cards and had shipped to Kazakstan. The conspirators also used victims' computers to store hacking tools, to launch attacks on other victims, and to serve as proxies in the eBay/PayPal fraud scheme to disguise the true location of their operations in Russia. Defendant worked with Alexey Ivanov and others to perpetrate these crimes through an enterprise that came to be known as tech.net.ru.

The charged counts reflected this broad conspiracy, as well as particular substantive crimes committed against Speakeasy Network, an Internet Service Provider (ISP) located in Seattle; Nara Bank, a bank located in Los Angeles, California; CNB-Waco, a bank located in Waco, Texas; eBay, an internet auction company located in California; and PayPal, an online payment company also located in California.

II. FACTS

The evidence at trial proved that defendant and his enterprise, which began as a "hacker's club" and evolved into tech.net.ru, were responsible for a series of computer intrusions and wire frauds beginning in the fall of 2000 and continuing until or beyond the arrest of defendant and co-defendant Alexey Ivanov on November 10, 2000. The evidence consisted of the testimony of a relatively small number of the victims affected by defendant and his coconspirators, and the victims' records; video and audio recordings of the Invita FBI undercover meeting on November 10, 2000, that took place immediately before defendant's arrest; data from defendant's two Russian computers, named tech.net.ru and freebsd.tech.net.ru, that the FBI was able to copy data remotely during the days following the arrest; expert testimony regarding that data and other aspects of the case; and testimony of law enforcement agents. In addition, defendant testified that he had no knowledge of or involvement in the crimes.

The victims' accounts of the crimes were presented by:

Employees of Speakeasy Network (which suffered an unauthorized intrusion, an extortion, and harm to its systems at the hands of Ivanov in late 1999);

- 2. An employee of BP Radio (a customer of Speakeasy that had its credit card data stolen from Speakeasy's network);
 - 3. The network administrator for the St. Clair County Intermediate School District (a public school district in Michigan whose network was compromised, used to host tech.net.ru's domain name server, and exploited as a proxy to commit further hacks and fraud);
 - 4. An employee of Nara Bank (the online banking server of which was compromised with the loss of confidential customer information, which then received an extortionate email, and which suffered the creation of bogus accounts in an effort by defendant to transfer funds via PayPal, all in 2000);
 - 5. An employee of CNB-Waco (a bank whose online banking server was compromised and whose confidential customer information was stolen in 2000);
 - 6. Tad Brooker, a computer parts seller (who shipped parts to Kazakstan and was paid with stolen credit cards via PayPal in 2000);
 - 7. Employees of Verio and WebCom (ISPs who defendant compromised in the fall of 1999 and from whom he obtained confidential information); and
 - 8. Employees of eBay and PayPal (who were the victims of an automated wire fraud involving scripts and programs created by defendant and his coconspirators to generate bogus online auctions and use stolen credit cards to obtain money and property).

Defendant's involvement in these activities was proven through his own statements at the Invita undercover meeting, as corroborated by the victims' testimony and by the data and programs on the Russian computers. At the undercover meeting, defendant spoke extensively about hacking computers and contacting victims in usually unsuccessful efforts to get them to pay money. He took personal responsibility for the hack of WebCom. He acknowledged that they had obtained a computer account at an ISP named CTS, an account obtained for hacking purposes. Defendant stated that his company, tech.net.ru, had about four hackers to create hacking tools, as well as other employees. He talked about scanning and hacking banks, as well. He became coy only when discussing the topic of credit cards, stating that such topics were "better discussed in Russia," where the FBI could not get to him. See PSR ¶31-36; Exh. E (Government's Exhibit 1C, admitted at trial, pages 113-168).

The data from the two Russian computers, together with the testimony of the victims, confirmed that defendant's enterprise had committed these crimes. The Russian computers held huge databases of stolen credit cards and confidential financial information. Defendant's own

account on each of the computers – the accounts named "kvakin" – contained the hacking tools and customized scripts needed for the computer intrusions and eBay/PayPal frauds. The expert witness for the United States, Philip Attfield, testified at length about these programs and scripts, the significance of their location in defendant's "personal workspace" on those computers, and the various links between the computer data and the victims' experience.

In his own testimony, defendant falsely portrayed his enterprise as a solely legitimate web design and computer security business. He acknowledged managing the business, which had about six employees by the summer of 2000, but he falsely testified that he knew nothing about the hacking, extortion, or fraud that was perpetrated using his own computers. He denied knowledge of the hacking tools and scripts that were in his accounts on the two computers. Defendant testified, incredibly, that during the Invita undercover meeting he was merely posing as a hacker, playing the part at the request of Ivanov. Defendant testified that it was only during the trip from Russia to Seattle that he learned from Ivanov that he had to pretend to be a hacker in the meeting with Invita. Exh. C. (Transcript of Defendant's Testimony, selected pages). Needless to say, the jury rejected this testimony and convicted defendant of his participation in the conspiracy and his responsibility for all of the charged substantive crimes as well.

The evidence of defendant's guilt was substantial, and it has been further corroborated by the recent guilty plea of Alexey Ivanov. On August 2, 2000, in the District of Connecticut, pursuant to Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, co-defendant Alexey Ivanov pled guilty to the same conspiracy of which defendant was convicted at trial as well as one of the substantive counts relating to the attack on Speakeasy Network (Count Four) See Exhs. A (Plea Agreement and Stipulation of Offense Conduct) and B (Transcript of Plea Colloquy, selected pages, as redacted by the court). In the Stipulation of Offense Conduct that Ivanov signed, he corroborated the involvement of defendant in a broad scheme to hack into the computers of commercial businesses and financial institutions in the United States, steal from those computers credit card information and other confidential financial data, extort payments from the victims, and commit fraud using the stolen credit cards. The scheme began in the fall of 1999, and the entity that became known as tech.net.ru was the base for these operations. Tech.net.ru

computers were used both to perpetrate the crimes with various hacking tools and scripts and to store the stolen credit cards and financial information. Exh. A.

In addition to the Speakeasy crimes, Ivanov specifically admitted the involvement of defendant and himself in attacks on Verio, Nara Bank, CNB-Waco, the St. Clair County Intermediate School District. Ivanov also acknowledged the fraud that he and defendant operated against eBay and PayPal through the use of automated scripts and their solicitation of sellers of computer parts, which they purchased using credit cards via PayPal. Exh. A. During his plea colloquy, Ivanov further explained that he and defendant had "a number of people" who were working on the programs that implemented the automated fraud. Exh. B, pp. 94-95. Ivanov also clarified that his criminal agreement with defendant continued through the time of the Invita meeting in Seattle. Exh. B, pp. 102-103.

III. PRESENTENCE REPORT AND SENTENCING GUIDELINE CALCULATIONS

The United States has no objections to the facts set forth in the Presentence Report, and agrees with the Probation Officer's calculations under the United States Sentencing Guidelines. The Total Offense Level is 36. Based on a Criminal History Category of I, the applicable range of imprisonment is 188-235 months.

Defendant, through his counsel's letters to the Probation Officer, indicated objections to nearly every paragraph in the PSR. Most of these objections reflect an effort to re-litigate or reargue issues that were resolved at defendant's three-week trial last year. In other words, defendant fails to acknowledge that he was tried and convicted of conspiracy and 19 substantive counts of computer abuse and wire fraud for actions that began no later than November 1999 and continued until his arrest on November 10, 2000. The evidence at trial clearly proved that defendant was part of a conspiracy that included himself, Alexey Ivanov and others; that defendant owned the business that became known on the Internet as tech.net.ru; that tech.net.ru was used to hack computers, steal information, cause damage to victims' computers, and defraud eBay and PayPal; that defendant exhibited his knowledge and involvement in these activities through the statements he made during the Invita undercover operation; and that as of mid-November 2000, defendants' own accounts (named "kvakin") on the two Russian computers that

were searched by the FBI – the computers named tech.net.ru and freebsd.tech.net.ru – contained numerous scripts and programs used for hacking computers as well as defrauding eBay and PayPal in an automated fashion using databases of stolen credit card information.

With respect to the substantive counts, defendant was convicted because he committed the crimes himself, he aided and abetted the commission of the crimes, and/or his coconspirator(s) committed these reasonably foreseeable crimes in furtherance of the conspiracy of which he was a member. Regardless of which theory or theories of criminal liability are accepted, at a bare minimum defendant knowingly assisted and supported all of the charged crimes. Because the jury found, beyond a reasonable doubt, that defendant was guilty of the conspiracy and all substantive counts, defendant is now precluded from re-litigating that result at sentencing. It is irrelevant that the evidence could not establish precisely and in every case which member of the conspiracy committed the substantive crimes; all of the crimes were reasonable foreseeable and within the scope and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

Alexey Ivanov's post-trial statements fully corroborate the evidence presented at trial. Defendant managed tech.net.ru and assigned numerous criminal tasks to Ivanov and others in the computer hacking and fraud conspiracy. In light of the information Alexey Ivanov has provided after defendant's conviction, it is uncertain what objections defendant will continue to lodge against the PSR However, the anticipated guideline issues are addressed below. The United States will file a supplementary sentencing memorandum to address arguments raised in defendant's sentencing memorandum to the extent necessary.

A. The Sentencing Guidelines that Became Effective on November 1, 2000, Apply to Defendant's Sentencing.

Defendant has contested the PSR's application of the Sentencing Guidelines that became effective on November 1, 2000, arguing that his crimes did not extend beyond that date.¹ However, defendant was charged with a conspiracy that began in the fall of 1999 and continued

¹ Neither the Probation Officer nor the parties are claiming that the currently effective Guidelines apply, because the high loss amount in this case would lead to an even higher total offense level and a sentence that would raise constitutional ex post facto clause issues. See U.S.S.G. §1B1.11(b)(1) (court should use guidelines in effect on date that offense was committed if use of current guidelines would violate ex post facto clause).

until or beyond his arrest on November 10, 2000. Given the jury's verdicts that defendant was a member of the conspiracy and that he was responsible for substantive counts that began in November 1999 and continued through the summer of 2000, defendant's involvement in the criminal conspiracy continued until either he was stopped or he withdrew. He was not stopped until November 10, 2000, and defendant cannot possibly demonstrate that he somehow withdrew from the conspiracy prior to November 1, 2000. Defendant's own incriminating statements during the Invita undercover meeting demonstrate that he was ready and willing to continue engaging in hacking and credit card fraud. Moreover, as of November 10, 2000, defendant continued to maintain the same hacking tools, computer scripts, and stolen credit card databases on his computer system in Russia that he used throughout the scheme. There is no question that the charged offense continued into the period after November 1, 2000, and that the Sentencing Guidelines that became effective on that date should apply in the present case. See U.S.S.G. §1B1.11(b)(3) (if defendant is convicted of two offenses, one of which is committed after revised edition of guidelines, then revised addition is applied to both offenses).

B. The PSR's Loss Calculation is Correctly Based on the Conservative Calculation of 56,000 Stolen Credit Cards Stored on Defendant's Tech.Net.Ru Computers.

Defendant has challenged the PSR's imposition of a 16-level enhancement under Section 2F1.1(b)(1)(Q) of the Sentencing Guidelines, based on a loss amount of \$28 million. The loss amount is derived from the extraordinary volume of stolen credit cards that were stored in databases on defendant's two Russian computers. Expert witness Philip Attfield testified at trial that he had searched the data on the two computers to determine the number of unique credit cards, and had found approximately 56,000 credit cards. He did the search by constructing a program or script that looked for patterns of numbers meeting the criteria for appearing to be a credit card, including bank identification or "BIN" numbers which consist of four-digit numbers at the beginning of credit cards. Mr. Attfield further testified that he was familiar with credit card patterns based on his previous experience in online credit card transaction processing. Exh. D (Transcript of trial testimony, pp. 1289-1290). Under Application Note 17 to Section 2F1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines, each and every stolen credit card is counted for a

minimum of \$500 of loss, regardless of actual loss amounts. Thus, 56,000 credit cards results in a loss amount of \$28 million.

These estimates are, in fact, conservative. As Mr. Attfield testified at trial, there were databases of credit cards that existed on the Russian computers that were not obtained by the FBI. Mr. Attfield was able to deduce the existence of these additional credit card databases from defendant's scripts which tapped the databases for stolen credit card information to use in the eBay/PayPal fraud scheme. Those databases – named mm, mm1, and fuckebay – contained untold numbers of additional stolen credit cards. Exh. D, pp. 1215-1216, 1243-1248, 1283-84, 1289-1290. Therefore, the 56,000 figure does not include the additional stolen credit cards from those databases or others that the United States is not seeking to attribute to defendant. See PSR ¶56-57.

Also left uncounted by the arithmetic of the Sentencing Guidelines is the tremendous and widespread harms to citizens of the United States and other persons whose personal, confidential identifying information was stolen and used by defendant to commit crimes. The Social Security numbers, bank account numbers, names, dates of birth, addresses, user names, passwords, and credit cards of thousands of people were stolen by defendant and his coconspirators. The personal, non-monetary harms of identity theft are not taken into account by the Sentencing Guidelines. These unaccounted for harms can justify an upward departure. PSR ¶148; U.S.S.G. §2F1.1, comment. (n.16). At a minimum, these harms emphasize the conservative nature of the PSR's calculation of loss.

C. The Two-Level Mass-Marketing Enhancement Applies.

Defendant has challenged the application of a two-level enhancement for commission of an offense through mass-marketing. See U.S.S.G. §2F1.1(b)(3). The relevant Application Note provides the following explanation of the enhancement:

"Mass-marketing," as used in subsection (b)(3) means a plan, program, promotion, or campaign that is conducted through solicitation by telephone, mail, the Internet, or other means to induce a large number of persons to (A) purchase goods or services; (B) participate in a contest or sweepstakes; or (C) invest for financial profit. The enhancement would apply, for example, if the defendant conducted or participated in a telemarketing campaign that solicited a large number of individuals to purchase fraudulent life insurance policies.

U.S.S.G. §2F1.1, comment. (n.3) (emphasis added). There are two independent and sufficient bases for this enhancement.

First, as part of the conspiracy, PayPal customers received email messages stating that they had received a bonus from PayPal and suggesting that they access a certain website where the customers were asked to enter their user names and passwords. In fact, the website was a fake website linked to computers at Lightrealm and surnet.ru, both of which were used by Ivanov during the conspiracy, and the customers' user names and passwords were being stolen for use in fraud. PSR ¶59.

Second, defendant engaged in a plan or scheme to solicit, via the Internet, large numbers of computer parts sellers to sell and ship to Kazakstan computer parts in exchange for credit card payments that defendant would make via PayPal using stolen credit cards. These are the emails that were sent under the pseudonyms of Greg Stivenson and Murat Nazirov. *Id.* Evidence of these mass-email solicitations were found on "memphis", one of the computers of the St. Clair County Intermediate School District that defendant and his coconspirators compromised. Defendant's own account on the freebsd.tech.net.ru computer contained corresponding evidence in the form of a file with hundreds of pages of these same emails. Exh. D, pp. 1278-1280. In addition, one of the computer parts sellers, Tad Brooker, testified that he had received an email solicitation, sold and shipped parts to Kazakhstan, and received payment via PayPal with what later turned out to be stolen credit cards. Defendant's mass-marketing merits the two-level enhancement, because he was able to multiply the force and impact of his fraud through the power of the Internet.

D. The Two-Level Unauthorized Use of Means of Identification Enhancement Applies.

A two-level enhancement should be applied for using any means of identification to obtain other means of identification. As noted in the PSR, stolen means of identification — whether user names, passwords, or credit cards — were the stock in trade of defendant's criminal activities. The gravamen of the conspiracy was to steal credit cards and associated identifying information and then use that to obtain further means of identification in the form of email names and accounts, eBay accounts, and PayPal accounts. In this era of rampant Internet-aided

identity theft, which led to this provision, the enhancement is particularly appropriate in a case like the instant one.

E. The Four-Level Aggravating Role Enhancement Applies Because Defendant Was the Leader of an Extensive Criminal Activity that also Involved Five or More Participants.

Defendant was certainly the leader of the extensive criminal activities that were charged in the Superseding Indictment and proven at trial. The PSR properly applies Section 3B1.1(a)(1) of the Sentencing Guidelines, which provides that "[i]f the defendant was an organizer or leader of a criminal activity that involved five or more participants or was otherwise extensive, increase by 4 levels." By his own admission to law enforcement agents and at trial, defendant was the manager of tech.net.ru, whose computers were used to perpetrate the eBay/PayPal fraud via various proxy computers around the world. See Exh. C-2 (Transcript of defendant's trial testimony), pp. 1800-1801. During the Invita undercover meeting, defendant stated that he had four "hackers" working for him, i.e., people who were creating hacking tools. Exh. E (Transcript of Invita undercover meeting, selected pages), pp. 132-133. Even at trial, defendant testified that by September or October of 2000 he had six people working at his firm. Exh. C-1, pp. 1734-1736. Thus, by defendant's own testimony, there were five or more participants in tech net.ru, which was a criminal enterprise.

Although defendant contests this four-level adjustment, there is no question it applies. Not only were there five or more participants, but the criminal activity "was otherwise extensive." The number of computer intrusions, the geographic spread of the victims, the sheer volume of credit card data and private customer information, and the scope of the fraud in this case was spectacular. Indeed, the term "otherwise extensive" does not begin to capture the size and shape of the conspiracy.²

² At a bare minimum, even if the Court found that the criminal activity did not involve five or more persons or was not otherwise extensive, it is plain that defendant was an organizer, leader, manager, or supervisor, and therefore subject to at least a two-level enhancement under U.S.S.G. §3B1.1(c).

F. The Two-Level Enhancement for Obstruction of Justice Applies Because Defendant Willfully Committed Perjury.

The United States agrees with the PSR that defendant committed perjury at trial and is therefore subject to the two-level enhancement for obstruction of justice under Section 3C1.1. The enhancement applies to perjury if: (1) the defendant gave false testimony under oath, (2) concerning a material matter, (3) with the willful intent to provide false testimony, rather than as a result of confusion, mistake, or faulty memory. See United States v. Jimenez, 300 F.3d 1166, 1170 (9th Cir. 2002) (citing United States v. Dunnigan, 507 U.S. 87, 95 (1993)). The Court must make findings as to each of these three elements.

Although defendant's false testimony consists of nearly everything he said on the witness stand, what particularly stands out as blatant perjury is his claim that he was merely posing as a hacker during the Invita undercover meeting because Ivanov persuaded him to do so during their trip from Russia to Seattle on November 9-10, 2000. See Exh. C-1, pp. 1747-1751, 1761-1766. Defendant's contention that he never knew anything about the hacking and fraud activities at his own company and that he made the incriminating statements during the undercover meeting strictly as part of some hacker role playing is outrageously false, as the jury obviously found. The testimony is certainly material, as it went to the heart of the issue of defendant's knowledge and participation in the charged conspiracy that had begun in the fall of 1999. Finally, it is equally plain that defendant's perjured testimony was willful, and not the product of a faulty memory or mistake or confusion, because it was specifically designed to explain away the devastatingly inculpatory statements that defendant made during the Invita undercover meeting.

Defendant's testimony was false, material, and willful, as the Court should find. The two-level enhancement applies.

IV. GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION

The United States agrees with the Probation Office that a sentence of 188 months is appropriate in this case in light of the broad swath of destruction that defendant and his coconspirators inflicted on e-commerce businesses, banks, schools, credit card companies, and other victims in the United States and around the world. Defendant and the people he employed

at tech.net.ru were engaged in a full-time criminal enterprise. Defendant believed that they could commit these acts with impunity from offshore, in Russia. He was wrong.

This case has achieved media attention in this country and abroad. It is important to inform the people of the world that they cannot attack victims in our country without facing the consequences. A sentence of 188 months is a lengthy one, and that is what is required to punish defendant for the immense harms he inflicted and to deter others who consider committing the same sorts of crimes.

The United States also recommends a three-year term of supervised release, an order to pay restitution in the amount of \$692,140, and a mandatory special assessment of \$2,000.

Dated this 20th day of September, 2002.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN McKAY

United States Attorney

FLOYD G. SHORT

Assistant United States Attorney

LIST OF ATTACHED EXHIBITS

- A. Plea Agreement and Stipulation of Offense Conduct of Alexey Ivanov
- B. Transcript of Plea Colloquy of Alexey Ivanov, selected pages
- C-1. October 4, 2001, Trial Testimony of Vasiliy Gorshkov, selected pages
- C-2. October 5, 2001, Trial Testimony of Vasiliy Gorshkov, selected pages
- D. Transcript of Trial Testimony of Philip Attfield, selected pages
- E. Trial Exhibit 1C (Transcript of FBI Invita Undercover Meeting), selected pages

EXHIBIT A

Case 2:00-cr-00550-JCC Document 163. Filed 19/30/03 JPsice 15 of 172



United States Attorney District of Connecticut

Brien McMahon Federal Building 915 Lafayette Boulevard, Room 309 Bridgeport, Connecticut 06604 (203) 696-3000

Fax (203) 579-5550

July 31, 2002

FILED UNDER SEAL

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq Furniss & Quinn, P.C. 248 Hudson Street Hartford, Connecticut 06106 Facsimile: (860) 241-1032 Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. Shipman & Goodwin LLP One Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901-2676 Fax: (203) 324-8199

Re: United States v. Alexey V. Ivanov

Crim. No. 3·00-CR-183 (AWT) (DCT) Crim. No. CR00-550C (WDWA)

Crim No SA CR 01-96 (CDCA)

Crim No. SA CR S-01-374 LKK (EDCA)

Crim No 00-611 (KSH) (DNJ)

Dear Attorneys Furniss and Rueckert

This letter confirms the plea agreement entered into between your client, Alexey V Ivanov (the "defendant"), and the United States Attorney's Offices for the District of Connecticut, the Western District of Washington, the Central and Eastern Districts of California and the District of New Jersey (the "Government") concerning the referenced criminal cases

The Plea and Offense

Alexey Ivanov agrees to plead guilty to Counts Two, Seven and Eight of an eight-count Indictment in the District of Connecticut charging him with Accessing a Protected Computer with the Intent to Defraud in violation of 18 U.S. C. §1030(a)(4), Interference with Commerce by Extortion in violation of 18 U.S. C. §1951, and Possession of Access Devices with intent to Defraud in violation of 18 U.S. C. §1029(a)(3) and (c)(1)(A)(i). He further agrees, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim P. 20 to plead guilty to Counts 1 and 4 of a 20-count Indictment in the Western District of Washington charging him with conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S. C. § 371 and with Intentionally Causing Damage to a Protected Computer in violation of 18 U.S. C. §1030(a)(5)(A), respectively; a one-count substitute Information in the District of New Jersey charging him with Accessing a Protected Computer with the Intent to Defraud in violation of 18 U.S. C. §1030(a)(4); Counts 1 and 11 of a 15-Count Indictment in the Central District of California charging him with wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S. C. § 1343 and Recklessly Causing Damage

FILED UNDER SEAL

to a Protected Computer in violation of 18 U S.C § 1030(a)(5)(B); respectively; and Count 12 of a 13-count Indictment in the Eastern District of California, charging him with Intentionally Causing Damage to a Protected Computer in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1030(a)(5)(A). It is the understanding of the defendant and the Government that, pursuant to Rule 20, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, all pleas will be entered simultaneously before the United States District Court in the District of Connecticut. Following sentencing, the Government will dismiss the remaining charges against the defendant.

He understands that to be guilty of Accessing a Protected Computer with the Intent to Defraud in violation of 18 U S. C. §1030(a)(4), the following essential elements of the offense must be satisfied:

- The defendant knowingly accessed without or in excess of authorization a protected computer system, that is, a computer system used in interstate or foreign commerce or communication;
- 2. The defendant acted with intent to defraud;
- 3. In furtherance of the scheme to defraud, the defendant obtained something of value

He understands that to be guilty of Interference with Commerce by Extortion in violation of 18 U.S C. §1951, the following essential elements of the offense must be satisfied.

- The defendant attempted to or did wrongfully obtain the property of another:
- 2. The defendant attempted to obtain this property with the victim's consent, but that this consent was compelled by the wrongful use or threat of force, violence, or fear; and
- 3. As a result of the defendant's actions, interstate commerce, or an item moving in interstate commerce, was delayed, obstructed, or affected in any way or degree.

He understands that to be guilty of Possession of Access Devices with intent to Defraud in violation of 18 U S.C. §1029(a)(3) and (c)(1)(A)(i), the following essential elements of the offense must be satisfied.

٠.

FILED UNDER SEAL

- The defendant possessed fifteen or more unauthorized access devices, that is, any card, plate, code, account number (whether assigned or not) or other means of account access that can be used alone or in conjunction with another access device to obtain money, goods, services or any other thing of value, or that can be used to initiate a transfer of funds.
- 2 That the defendant acted knowingly, willfully and with the intent to defraud; and
- That interstate or foreign commerce was affected by the defendants actions.

He understands that to be guilty of a conspiracy offense in violation of 18 U S.C. § 371, the following essential elements of the offense must be satisfied:

- 1. Two or more persons entered into an unlawful agreement as charged on or about the date charged,
- 2. The defendant knowingly and willfully became a member of the conspiracy charged,
- 3. One of the members of the conspiracy knowingly committed at least one of the overt acts charged; and
- 4. The overt acts committed were committed to further some objective of the conspiracy

He understands that to be guilty of wire fraud in violation of 18 U S C. §1343, the following essential elements of the offense must be satisfied:

- 1. There was a scheme or artifice to defraud or to obtain money or property by materially false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises;
- 2. The defendant knowingly and willfully participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud, with knowledge of the fraudulent nature and with the specific intent to defraud, and
- 3. In the execution of the scheme, the defendant used or caused the use of an interstate or international wire communication in furtherance of the scheme to defraud

FILED UNDER SEAL

He understands that to be guilty of Intentionally Causing Damage to a Protected Computer in violation of 18 U S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(A), the following essential elements of the offense must be satisfied:

- 1. The defendant knowingly caused the unauthorized transmission of a program, code, command, or information to a protected computer system, that is, a computer system used in interstate or foreign commerce or communication;
- 2. The defendant caused the transmission of the program with the intent to impair the integrity or availability of data, a program, a system or information; and
- 3. The impairment of the data, program system or information resulted in losses to one or more individuals totaling at least \$5,000 in value at any time during a one year period.

He understands that to be guilty of Recklessly Causing Damage to a Protected Computer in violation of 18 U S C § 1030(a)(5)(B), the following essential elements of the offense must be satisfied:

- 1. The defendant intentionally accessed without authorization a protected computer, that is, a computer system used in interstate or foreign commerce or communication;
- 2. As a result of the defendant's access, defendant recklessly impaired the integrity or availability of data, a program, a system or information
- 3. The impairment of the data, program system or information resulted in losses to one or more individuals totaling at least \$5,000 in value at any time during a one year period

The Penalties

Interference with Commerce by Extortion, in violation of 18 U S C. §1951, carries a maximum penalty of 20 years imprisonment and a \$250,000 fine; Possession of Access Devices with Intent to Defraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1029(a)(3) and (c)(1)(A)(i), carries a maximum penalty of 10 years imprisonment and a \$250,000 fine; the substantive conspiracy offense carries a maximum penalty of 5 years imprisonment and a \$250,000 fine; the wire fraud offense carries a maximum penalty of 5 years imprisonment and a \$250,000 fine, and each of the offenses involving computers under 18 U S.C. §1030 carry a maximum penalty of 5 years imprisonment

FILED UNDER SEAL

and a \$250,000 fine In addition, under 18 U S C. § 3583, the Court may impose a term of supervised release of not more than 3 years on each count, to begin at the expiration of any term of imprisonment imposed. The defendant understands that should he violate any condition of the supervised release during its term, he may be required to serve a further term of imprisonment of up to two years, with no credit for the time already spent on supervised release

The defendant also is subject to the alternative fine provision of 18 U.S C. § 3571. Under this section, the maximum fine that may be imposed on the defendant as to each count is the greatest of the following amounts: (1) twice the gross gain to the defendant resulting from the offense; (2) twice the gross loss resulting from the offense; (3) \$250,000; or (4) the amount specified in the section defining the offense.

In addition, the defendant is obligated by 18 U.S.C. § 3013 to pay a special assessment of \$100 on each count of conviction

Finally, unless otherwise ordered, should the Court impose a fine of more than \$2,500 as part of the sentence, interest will be charged on the unpaid balance of a fine amount not paid within 15 days after the judgment date. 18 U S C. § 3612(f) Other penalties and fines may be assessed on the unpaid balance of a fine pursuant to 18 U S C. §§3572 (h), (i) and 3612(g)

Restitution

In addition to the other penalties provided by law, the Court must also order that the defendant make restitution under 18 U.S.C. § 3663A. See attached Rider

Financial Disclosure and Forfeiture

The defendant agrees to fully and completely disclose all funds and assets obtained as a result of the criminal conduct to which he is pleading guilty and to identify to the United States all assets obtained through such activity. The defendant further agrees that he will not make any claim which is adverse to the government in any forfeiture proceedings that may be instituted against these assets or any other assets obtained by or traceable to the criminal activity which forms the basis for the charges in this matter.

Sentencing Guidelines

1 Applicability

The defendant understands that the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 and the Sentencing Guidelines apply in this case. The defendant understands that the Court is required to consider

- -

FILED UNDER SEAL

any applicable Sentencing Guidelines but may depart from those Guidelines under some circumstances. The defendant expressly understands that the Sentencing Guideline determinations will be made by the Court, based upon input from the defendant, the Government, and the United States Probation Officer who prepares the Presentence investigation report. The defendant further understands that he has no right to withdraw his guilty plea if his sentence or the Guideline application is other than he anticipated.

2 <u>Acceptance of Responsibility</u>

At this time, the Government agrees to recommend that the Court reduce by three levels the defendant's Adjusted Offense Level under section 3E1 1 of the Sentencing Guidelines, based on the defendant's prompt recognition and affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for the offense. This recommendation is conditioned upon the defendant's full, complete, and truthful disclosure to the Probation Office of information requested, of the circumstances surrounding his commission of the offense, of his criminal history, and of his financial condition. In addition, this recommendation is conditioned upon the defendant timely providing complete information to the Government concerning his involvement in the offense to which he is pleading guilty. The defendant expressly understands that the Court is not obligated to accept the Government's recommendation on the reduction.

The Government will not make this recommendation if the defendant engages in any acts which (1) indicate that the defendant has not terminated or withdrawn from criminal conduct or associations (Sentencing Guideline section 3E1.1); (2) could provide a basis for an adjustment for obstructing or impeding the administration of justice (Sentencing Guideline section 3C1.1); or (3) constitute a violation of any condition of release. The defendant expressly understands that he may not withdraw his plea of guilty if, for the reasons explained above, the Government does not make this recommendation.

3. Appeal Rights Regarding Sentencing

The parties reserve their respective rights to appeal and to oppose each other's appeal of the sentence imposed as permitted by the statute.

4. Guideline Calculation

The Government and defendant have agreed that the applicable Guidelines analysis is as follows: The sentencing guideline range is determined level under U S S.G. § 2E1.1, which directs that the base level is determined by the underlying conduct involved in the racketeering activity, which here includes extortion and fraud.

••

FILED UNDER SEAL

The relevant conduct here includes 6 extortions [OIB, Inc., Goodnews, Speakeasy, Dexis/Sterling, Casinovega and FSI]. Under U.S.S.G. § 2B3.2, each of these extortions has a base offense level of 18. Under U.S.S.G. §3B1 3 each of these offense levels are enhanced by 2 level because of the defendant's use of a special skill, for adjusted offense levels of 20 each Under U.S.S.G. § 3D1.1(d) these offenses are not grouped.

The relevant conduct here also includes fraud using access devices, including credit card and bank accounts. Under U.S.S G. §2F1.1 the base offense level is 6. The total number of unique access devices involved in the relevant offense conduct is over 50,000 which results in a minimum increase in offense level of 16 to 22. The relevant offense conduct involved more than minimal planning under §2F1.1(b)(2), increasing the offense level an additional 2 levels. It involved mass marketing under §2F1 1(b)(3), increasing the offense level an additional 2 levels. It involved the trafficking in unauthorized access devices under §2F1 1(b)(5), increasing the offense level an additional 2 levels. Since a substantial part of the fraudulent scheme was committed from outside the United States, the offense level increased an additional 2 levels. Thus the total offense level is 30. The defendant asserts that the defendant's offense level is increased an additional three levels under U.S.S G. §3B1.1, and the Government asserts that the defendant's offense level is increased an additional four levels, under U.S.G. §3B1.1. This results in an offense level of 33-34.

Under these calculations, grouping will not increase the total offense level beyond 33-34. Under U.S S G. § 3E1 1, the defendant's total offense level of 33-34 is reduced by 3 levels to 30-31.

Based on the defendant's representation of his criminal history, his criminal history category is I. The Government reserves the right to recalculate criminal history if defendant's representation proves inaccurate.

A total offense level of 30-31 with a criminal history category I results in a Guidelines range of 97-121 through 108-135 months' imprisonment (sentencing table) and a Guidelines fine range of \$15,000 to twice the proposed loss, no more than \$150,000, unless the defendant establishes that he is unable to pay and not likely to become able to pay any fine. U.S.S G. § 5E1.2.

The defendant expressly understands that the Court is not bound by this agreement on the Guideline and fine ranges specified above. The defendant further expressly understands that he will not be permitted to withdraw the plea of guilty if the Court imposes a sentence outside the Guideline range or fine range set forth in this agreement. Each party reserves its right to make arguments for departures from this guideline range.

-

FILED UNDER SEAL

In the event the Probation Office or the Court contemplates any sentencing calculations different from those stipulated by the parties, the parties reserve the right to respond to any inquiries and make appropriate legal arguments regarding the proposed alternate calculations. Moreover, the parties reserve the right to challenge or defend any sentencing determination, other than that stipulated by the parties, in any post-sentencing proceeding.

Waiver of Rights

Waiver of Right to Indictment

The defendant understands that he has the right to have charges to which he is pleading in the District of New Jersey presented to a federal grand jury, consisting of between sixteen and twenty-three citizens, twelve of whom would have to find probable cause to believe that he committed the offense set forth in the information before an indictment could be returned. The defendant expressly acknowledges that he is waiving his right to be indicted on these charges knowingly and intelligently

Waiver of Trial Rights and Consequences of Plea

The defendant understands that he has the right to be represented by an attorney at every stage of the proceeding and, if necessary, one will be appointed to represent him.

The defendant understands that he has the right to plead not guilty or to persist in that plea if it has already been made, the right to be tried by a jury with the assistance of counsel, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him, the right not to be compelled to incriminate himself, and the right to compulsory process for the attendance of witnesses to testify in his defense. The defendant understands that by pleading guilty he waives and gives up those rights and that if the plea of guilty is accepted by the Court, there will not be a further trial of any kind. The defendant further agrees to withdraw any outstanding pretrial motions prior to entering his plea.

The defendant understands that if he pleads guilty, the Court may ask him questions about each offense to which he pleads guilty, and if he answers those questions falsely under oath, on the record, and in the presence of counsel, his answers may later be used against him in a prosecution for perjury or making false statements.

The defendant understands and agrees that should the conviction following defendant's plea of guilty pursuant to this plea agreement be vacated or the plea agreement be breached for any reason, then any prosecution that is not time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations on the date of the signing of this plea agreement may be commenced or reinstated against defendant,

FILED UNDER SEAL

notwithstanding the expiration of the statute of limitations between the signing of this plea agreement and the commencement or reinstatement of such prosecution. In this respect, the defendant agrees to waive all defenses based on the statute of limitations with respect to any prosecution that is not time-barred on the date the plea agreement is signed.

Acknowledgment of Guilt; Voluntariness of Plea

The defendant acknowledges that he is entering into this agreement and is pleading guilty freely and voluntarily because he is guilty. The defendant further acknowledges that he is entering into this agreement without reliance upon any discussions between the Government and him (other than those described in the plea agreement letter), without promise of benefit of any kind (other than the concessions contained in the plea agreement letter), and without threats, force, intimidation, or coercion of any kind. The defendant further acknowledges his understanding of the nature of the offenses to which he is pleading guilty, including the penalties provided by law. The defendant also acknowledges his complete satisfaction with the representation and advice received from his undersigned attorneys. The defendant and his undersigned counsel are unaware of any conflict of interest concerning counsels' representation of the defendant in the case.

Scope of Agreement

The defendant acknowledges and understands that this agreement is limited to the undersigned parties and cannot bind any other federal authority, or any state or local authority. The defendant acknowledges that no representations have been made to him with respect to any civil or administrative consequences that may result from this plea of guilty because such matters are solely within the province and discretion of the specific administrative or governmental entity involved. Finally, the defendant understands and acknowledges that this agreement has been reached without regard to any civil tax matters that may be pending or which may arise involving him.

The defendant expressly acknowledges that he is not a "prevailing party" within the meaning of Public Law 105-119, section 617 ("the Hyde Amendment") with respect to the counts of conviction or any other counts or charges that may be dismissed pursuant to this agreement. The defendant voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives any rights he may have to seek reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation expenses under the Hyde Amendment.

Collateral Consequences

The defendant further understands that he will be adjudicated guilty of each offense to which he has pleaded guilty and will be deprived of certain rights, such as the right to vote, to hold public office, to serve on a jury, or to possess firearms. The defendant understands that the

FILED UNDER SEAL

Government reserves the right to notify any state or federal agency by whom he is licensed, or with whom he does business, of the fact of his conviction.

Satisfaction of Federal Criminal Liability; Breach

The defendant's guilty pleas, if accepted by the Court, will satisfy the federal criminal liability of the defendant in the Districts represented below as a result of his participation in the conduct which forms the basis of the Indictments and Information in this case, including the conduct outlined in the attached Stipulation of Offense Conduct. Following sentencing, the Government will dismiss the remaining counts against the defendant in this case

The defendant understands that if, before sentencing, he violates any term or condition of this agreement, engages in any criminal activity, or fails to appear for sentencing, the Government may void all or part of this agreement. The defendant, however, will not be permitted to withdraw his plea of guilty.

No Other Promises

The defendant acknowledges that no other promises, agreements, or conditions have been entered into other than those set forth in this plea agreement, and none will be entered into unless set forth in writing, signed by all the parties.

This letter shall be presented to the Court and filed in this case.

Very truly yours,

JOHN A. DANAHER

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

DIST OF CONNECTICUT

MARK O. CALIFANO SHAWN J. CHEM

ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS

JOHN MCKAY

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

WESTERN DIST. OF WASHINGTON

FLOYD G. SHORT

ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS

- -

UNDER SEAL

Government reserves the right to notify any state or federal agency by whom he is licensed, or with whom he does business, of the fact of his conviction.

Satisfaction of Federal Criminal Liability: Breach

The defendant's guilty pleas, if accepted by the Court, will satisfy the federal criminal liability of the defendant in the Districts represented below as a result of his participation in the conduct which forms the basis of the Indictments and Information in this case, including the conduct outlined in the attached Stipulation of Offense Conduct. Following sentencing, the Government will dismiss the remaining counts against the defendant in this case.

The defendant understands that if, before sentencing, he violates any term or condition of this agreement, engages in any criminal activity, or fails to appear for sentencing, the Government may void all or part of this agreement. The defendant, however, will not be permitted to withdraw his plea of guilty.

No Other Promises

The defendant acknowledges that no other promises, agreements, or conditions have been entered into other than those set forth in this plea agreement, and none will be entered into unless set forth in writing, signed by all the parties.

This letter shall be presented to the Court and filed in this case.

Very truly yours,

JOHN A. DANAHER UNITED STATES ATTORNEY DIST. OF CONNECTICUT

MARK G. CALIFANO SHAWN J. CHEN ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS JOHN MCKAY

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

WESTERN DIST. OF MASHINGTON

FLOYEG. SHORT

ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS

FILED UNDER SEAL

DEBRA W. YANG UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIFORNIA CHRISTOPHER J. CHRISTIE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY DIST. OF NEW JERSEY

ARIF ALIKHAN ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY SCOTT S. CHRISTIE ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

JOHN K. VINCENT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY EASTERN DIST. OF CALIFORNIA

MARK L. KROTOSKI ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

The defendant certifies that he has read this plea agreement letter and its attachments, has been offered an opportunity to have it translated into Russian and has accepted/declined that offer, has had ample time to discuss this agreement with counsel and fully understands and accepts its terms

Alexey V Ivanov

The Defendant

I have read the above and explained it to my client, who advises me that he understands and accepts its terms. I have discussed with my client the option of having this letter translated into Russian, and he has accepted/declined this offer.

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq.
Attorney for the Defendant

 $\frac{8/2/02}{\text{Date}}$

Dat-

Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq.
Attorney for the Defendant

I have translated this agreement from English in to Russian for the defendant.

Date

Translator

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. UNDER S Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. July 31, 2002 Page 11 DEBRA W. YANG CHRISTOPHER J. CHRISTIE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CENTRAL/DIST. OF CALIFORNIA DIST. OF NEW JERSEY SCOTT S. CHRISTIE ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY JOHN K. VINCENT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY EASTERN DIST. OF CALIFORNIA MARK L. KROTOSKI ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY The defendant certifies that he has read this plea agreement letter and its attachments, l been offered an opportunity to have it translated into Russian and has accepted/declined that offer, has had ample time to discuss this agreement with counsel and fully understands and accepts its terms. Alexey V. Ivanov Date The Defendant I have read the above and explained it to my client, who advises me that he understands

I have read the above and explained it to my client, who advises me that he understands and accepts its terms. I have discussed with my client the option of having this letter translated into Russian, and he has accepted/declined this offer.

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq.

Attorney for the Defendant

Morgan P. Rucckert, Esq.

Attorney for the Defendant

I have translated this agreement from English in to Russian for the defendant.

Date

Translator

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq.

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. August 1, 2002 Page 11	UNDER SEAL
DEBRA W. YANG UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIFORNIA	CHRISTOPHER J. CHRISTIE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY DIST. OF NEW JERSEY
ARIF ALIKHAN ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	SCOTT S. CHRISTIE ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
JOHN K. VINCENT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY EASTERN FIST. OF CALIFORNIA MARK I. KROTOSKI ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY The defendant certifies that he has read this been offered an opportunity to have it translated in offer, has had ample time to discuss this agreement accepts its terms.	
Alexey V. Ivanov The Defendant	Date
I have read the above and explained it to m and accepts its terms. I have discussed with my cl into Russian, and he has accepted/declined this off	•
C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Attorney for the Defendant	Date
Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. Attorney for the Defendant	Date
I have translated this agreement from Engl	ish in to Russian for the defendant.
Translator	Date

Case 2:00-cr-00550-JCC Document 163 Filed 09/30/02 Page 29 of 172

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. August 1, 2002 Page 11

DEBRA W. YANG

CHRISTOPHER J. CHRISTIE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

UNDER SEAL

ARIF ALIKHAN ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIFORNIA

ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

JOHN K. VINCENT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY EASTERN DIST. OF CALIFORNIA

MARK L. KROTOSKI ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

The defendant certifies that he has read this plea agreement letter and its attachments, has been offered an opportunity to have it translated into Russian and has accepted/declined that offer, has had ample time to discuss this agreement with counsel and fully understands and accepts its terms.

Alexey V. Ivanov Date The Defendant

I have read the above and explained it to my client, who advises me that he understands and accepts its terms. I have discussed with my client the option of having this letter translated into Russian, and he has accepted/declined this offer.

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Date Attorney for the Defendant Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. Date Attorney for the Defendant

I have translated this agreement from English in to Russian for the defendant.

Date

Translator

FILED UNDER SEAL

STIPULATION OF OFFENSE CONDUCT

The defendant and the Government submit the following as a stipulation of conduct which forms the basis of the plea in this case:

The Enterprise and Conspiracy

Defendant ALEKSEY V IVANOV, Vassily Gorshkov, and other persons and entities were members and associates of an enterprise as defined by Title 18, United States Code, § 1961(4), to wit, a group of individuals and entities associated in fact whose members engaged in acts involving extortion; mail, wire and access device fraud, and money laundering. This enterprise defined above was engaged in and its activities affected interstate and foreign commerce.

One of the purposes, among others, of the enterprise was to secure economic benefits for its members through the associated individuals and entities (1) by obtaining unauthorized access to computer systems which were used by financial institutions and other commercial businesses in interstate and foreign commerce and communication, (2) by stealing confidential financial information and other data (including access devices) from these systems, (3) by obtaining funds through acts including extortion and mail, wire and access device fraud, and (4) by obtaining merchandise for their own use and benefit and for later resale. It was also the purpose of the enterprise to obtain, by acts involving mail, wire and access device fraud and money laundering, funds and merchandise in order to support and promote the operations of the enterprise and further its illegal goals and objectives

Beginning in or about 1999, in the District of Connecticut, the Western District of Washington, the Central and Eastern Districts of California, the District of New Jersey, Russia and elsewhere, IVANOV, Gorshkov, other individuals associated with the entity known as tech.net.ru, and other persons and entities were employed by and associated with the enterprise above. This enterprise engaged in activities which affected interstate and foreign commerce, including mail, wire and access device fraud; extortion and money laundering. As members of this enterprise, IVANOV, Gorshkov and other persons knowingly and intentionally combined, conspired, confederated, and agreed with each other to (1) conduct and participate, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of the enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity through the commission of a pattern of racketeering acts, including the acts identified below, and (2) to commit offenses against the United States, including violations of 18 U.S.C. §§1030 (computer intrusion, fraud and extortion), 1029 (fraud in connection with access devices), 1341 (mail fraud), 1343 (wire fraud), 1951 (interference in commerce by extortion), and 1956 (money laundering)

It was a part of the enterprise and conspiracy that IVANOV, Gorshkov and the other conspirators used computer systems located in Rüssia, the United States and elsewhere

FILED UNDER SEAL

(including the tech.net.ru computer systems) to conduct searches and scans on the Internet in order to identify victim computer systems vulnerable to attack and unauthorized access; to store computer intrusion and "hacking" tools and programs; to transmit computer intrusion and "hacking" tools, programs, and other data to other computer systems; and to execute these computer intrusion and "hacking" tools and programs. Using these computer systems IVANOV, Gorshkov and others exploited vulnerabilities in these computer systems, which were used in interstate and foreign commerce and communication and by financial institutions, in order to obtain unauthorized access and access in excess of authorization to those systems. They stole information and data from these systems, including confidential user accounts, password files, systems files, credit card, merchant and bank account numbers, storing it on computers in Russia and elsewhere, including the systems of tech.net.ru and IVANOV's laptop computer. They exchanged this information with each other and used it to purchase funds and merchandise for their own use, and for use in the criminal enterprise and conspiracy. IVANOV, Gorshkov and others contacted and communicated with the victims whose computers they had accessed and compromised, for the purpose of extorting money from those victims, by threatening to damage their computer systems and to take and publish confidential financial and other data from those systems obtained through unauthorized access. They used e-mail accounts obtained from certain Internet Service providers (ISPs) and Internet Relay Chat (IRC) protocols to communicate extortion demands to victims and to fraudulently obtain funds and merchandise.

Specific Conduct

Beginning in the fall of 1999, a number of Internet-related businesses in the United States including but not limited to those identified below, suffered computer intrusions, or "hacks," into their computer systems that originated from Russia, intrusions that were executed and assisted by IVANOV, Gorshkov and their coconspirators, who were members of the enterprise identified above. These individuals gained control of the victims' computers and, among other things: (1) accessed, copied and stole private, confidential data that included computer system account and password data and merchant, credit card and bank account information, and/or (2) used these systems to scan and gain unauthorized access to other victim systems. In several instances, they used this stolen information to extort the victims by demonstrating their control over the victims' computer systems and information. They threatened to publish and use the stolen data and information, and to inflict damage on the computer systems unless the victim paid money or gave the hacker a job. In addition, they used the stolen financial information to make fraudulent purchases of goods and services from sellers in the United States and elsewhere.

Unauthorized Access and Use of Internet Service Providers

In the fall of 1999, IVANOV, Gorshkov and their conspirators obtained unauthorized access into the computer systems of several Internet service providers ("ISPs"), including Veno, which is headquartered in Englewood, Colorado; Channel 1 Communications, which is located in Cambridge, Massachusetts; Lightrealm Communications (now known as Hostpro) in Kirkland,

FILED UNDER SEAL

Washington; and CTS Network Services, in San Diego, California. IVANOV, Gorshkov and others stole confidential data from these systems, including passwords and access devices, including credit card and merchant account numbers, and they exchanged this information with each other. For example, IVANOV initially used a stolen credit card number to open an Internet account with CTS and thereafter used the account to obtain unauthorized access into CTS computer systems. IVANOV, Gorshkov and their coconspirators provided each other with unauthorized access to and use of such computer systems, including the systems of Lightrealm and CTS. They used these computer systems as a "proxy" or base of operations for further unauthorized intrusions into and connections to other victim computer systems in the United States and elsewhere. These proxy systems concealed their use of computer systems in Russia, including those of tech.net.ru, and made it appear that the unauthorized intrusions and connections were coming from the United States.

Some of the ISPs detected these unauthorized intrusions, including Lightrealm and CTS. These ISPs gave IVANOV an account on their systems and made payments to him by transferring funds to Russia. In other instances, such as with Channel 1 Communications, IVANOV contacted the ISP after he had gained unauthorized access and stolen credit card account numbers, shared the access with his coconspirators and collected funds from Channel 1 which he shared with one of his coconspirators

Unbeknownst to these ISPs, IVANOV and his coconspirators took advantage of their authorized and unauthorized access to the computer systems of these ISPs to steal databases that contained passwords and credit card and other financial information, to search for and scan victim systems, to gain unauthorized access into victim systems, to steal confidential data, including access devices and other financial data from victim systems, and to send extortionate communications to victim computer systems in an effort to obtain money and work from the victims. Much of the information stolen from these ISPs was stored on the computer systems of tech.net ru IVANOV stole credit card account numbers from Lightrealm customer Pluscellular, which he, Gorshkov and other conspirators used to fraudulently obtain funds and merchandise.

Speakeasy Network

Another of these victims was Speakeasy, an ISP located in Seattle, Washington IVANOV and his coconspirators obtained unauthorized access Speakeasy's computer network from computer systems located in Russia, at the end of November 1999 They were able to obtain unauthorized access to the system administrator's account – the account known as "root" or the "superuser" – on several Speakeasy computers. They also obtained credit card account numbers and several password files from Speakeasy systems, several of which were transferred to and stored on the systems of tech net ru

On November 29, 1999, IVANOV, using the screen name "_subb_", engaged a Speakeasy employee in an IRC chat session. During the chat session, IVANOV stated that he

FILED UNDER SEAL

had found holes in Speakeasy's network security, that he wanted a job and \$1,000 - \$1,500 per month, and that he would not tell Speakeasy about the security holes until he got a job. IVANOV stated that he had 2000 user passwords from Speakeasy, as well as credit cards. IVANOV also told Speakeasy representatives that he lived in Russia and that he could not be prosecuted in Russia because Russia did not have strong computer-crime laws.

After a brief hiatus, IVANOV again contacted Speakeasy, just before December 24, 1999. He again demanded a job and money, stating that it would be better for Speakeasy to give him a job than for Speakeasy to get hacked, have all of its files deleted, and have its customers' credit cards used. He demonstrated that he had credit card information by posting it on a web site that Speakeasy hosted. Since Speakeasy refused to pay any money to IVANOV or give him a job, IVANOV deleted files on one of Speakeasy's main computers and on one of its customer's computers, causing over \$5,000 in damage to those computers.

VPM Internet Services

Page 15

VPM Internet Services LLC ("VPM"), was an Internet Service Provider located in Folsom, California. Between approximately December 1999 and February 2000, IVANOV and a coconspirator conducted a series of unauthorized intrusions into the computer network of VPM. On or about December 9, 1999, they scanned the network of VPM to obtain an Internet Protocol map of the network and to identify vulnerable network services. After mapping the VPM network, on or about December 10, 1999, and again from on or about January 10, 2000 through January 19, 2000, they installed a sniffer on the VPM network and thereby obtained log-in and password information from the VPM system. They also installed back doors so they could access the system if security measures were imposed. On or about December 10, 1999, they obtained password files from four separate VPM computers, several of which were transferred to and stored on the computer systems of tech net ru.

In or about December 1999, defendant IVANOV and another coconspirator obtained root-level or administrator-level access to VPM computers which provided them with full control of the computers from a remote location. After root-level access was obtained, they set up two Internet Relay Chat channels to contact and communicate with VPM representatives. During these communications, they demanded money from VPM and threatened to destroy data on VPM systems. They also prepared and sent an extortion communication to an officer of VPM.

During this period, IVANOV and a coconspirator deleted log files, inserted surreptitious programs, changed system files, and installed hacker tools on the VPM computer systems. On or about December 24, 1999, they changed .rhost files on a VPM computer which controlled user access to the VPM computer. These unauthorized intrusions resulted in losses to VPM totaling over \$5,000. VPM's system is a protected computer used in interstate and foreign commerce and communication.

FILED UNDER SEAL

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq July 31, 2002 Page 16

As with the ISPs identified above, IVANOV and at least one other coconspirator also used their unauthorized access to the network of VPM to make further unauthorized access and intrusions to the networks of other victims. In this manner, they used VPM computer systems as a proxy system for further unauthorized intrusions into and connections to protected computers in order to conceal their use of computer systems in Russia and to make it appear that the unauthorized intrusions and connections were coming from the United States. Some of the computer systems accessed in this manner included the computer systems of the following United States companies: Commuter Communication Systems Incorporated on or about December 23 through 25, 1999; Eicon Networks on or about December 19, 20 and 24, 1999; OneNet Communications on or about December 21 through December 24, 1999; Anythinking net on or about December 24, 1999; and Signio.com on or about December 24, 1999. Data taken from some of these computer systems, including password files, was stored on the tech.net.ru computer systems.

Goodnews Internet Service

Goodnews Internet Service ("Goodnews"), located in Cincinnati, Ohio and was an Internet Service Provider ("ISP") engaged in providing interstate and foreign Internet communications service in interstate and foreign commerce.

From in or before January 23, 2000, and continuing through at least February 7, 2000, IVANOV, using the computer systems at tech.net ru, and at least one coconspirator obtained unauthorized access to the computer systems of Goodnews and stole the password file from one of the Goodnews computer systems. IVANOV stored one of these password files and other data from Goodnews on the tech.net ru computer systems. Both attempted to extort the ISP. The coconspirator sent e-mail messages to representatives of Goodnews indicating that he had obtained root access to one of the Goodnews systems and that he had decided not to inflict damage, but instead offered to exchange information regarding the vulnerabilities for payment. Soon thereafter IVANOV sent e-mails to representatives of Goodnews stating that he was a security engineer of Lightrealm, Inc. and offering to check the computer systems. IVANOV offered in one e-mail that if he found no vulnerabilities Goodnews would owe him nothing, but that if he found vulnerabilities, Goodnews would owe him \$9999. In fact, and as IVANOV knew, he was not a Lightrealm engineer and he had concealed from Goodnews representatives that he already had gained access to Goodnews systems and had already obtained information on its vulnerabilities.

Online Information Bureau

Online Information Bureau, Inc. ("OIB"), located in Vernon, Connecticut, was a financial transaction clearinghouse that assisted in the processing of merchant credit card and financial transactions. In December of 1999, IVANOV and his coconspirators used a number of computer systems in Russia, including those of tech net.ru, to obtain unauthorized access into the computer

FILED UNDER SEAL

systems of OIB. They accessed and stole confidential data from the systems, including passwords and credit card account numbers. They stored a significant portion of this data on their computer systems in Russia, including the systems of tech.net.ru.

From on or about January 29, 2000, and continuing until on or about February 3, 2000, IVANOV sent e-mail messages to representatives of OIB, Inc. seeking payment and employment in exchange for providing computer security services. Despite requests by OIB representatives that IVANOV stop his solicitation, IVANOV continued to send e-mail messages. In these e-mail messages, IVANOV demonstrated that he had obtained the root passwords to and control of a number of the OIB computer systems. He also sent an e-mail message that contained threats to cause damage to OIB and OIB's computers by taking confidential merchant account information from OIB computer systems and posting it on the Internet, and by destroying all of the data on certain OIB computer systems. These communications prompted the administrator of the OIB systems to immediately disconnect the OIB systems from the Internet, in order to avoid such damage.

IVANOV and his conspirators also shared information about OIB computer systems and how to access them. In March 2000, they used OIB's computer systems as a proxy system to search for and scan additional victims, and to transfer the information gathered to a number of computer systems in Russia. They also used the systems to send an e-mail communication to representatives of another victim, Financial Services Incorporated, outlined below

J2Global Communications (JFAX)

J2 Global Communications ("JFAX") was an ISP located in Hollywood, California. In or about January or March 2000, IVANOV obtained unauthorized access into the computer systems of JFAX.. Using this unauthorized access, IVANOV accessed and downloaded a significant amount of credit card numbers on several occasions. He stored these account numbers on the tech.net.ru computer systems and used them to defraud United States merchants by obtaining merchandise and other things of value.

Sterling Microsystems

Sterling Microsystems, ("Sterling") located in Anaheim, California, was a computer hardware and Internet service company that provided credit card processing services for on-line retailers, including credit card merchant accounts and electronic mail services, through its website "DEXIS.NET" Sterling maintained financial information and other data regarding its customers on the DEXIS.NET computer systems

In or about February 2000, IVANOV and a coconspirator gained unauthorized access to the computer system of Sterling, using a vulnerability on the DEXIS.NET webserver to gain access to Sterling's credit card database and other data. After gaining unauthorized access to

FILED UNDER SEAL

Sterling's computer system, IVANOV transferred credit card databases, user names, passwords and other data from Sterling's computer system to the computer system at CTS for the purpose of executing the fraudulent scheme. Specifically, on February 18, 2000 IVANOV transmitted a File Transfer Protocol command from Chelyabinsk, Russia to the computer systems of Sterling to transfer the credit card database to the CTS computer system

IVANOV unauthorized access to Sterling's computer systems caused the impairment of the integrity of the data contained on the systems and resulted in more than \$5,000 in loss to Sterling within a one-year period.

Financial Services Incorporated

Financial Services, Inc. ("FSI") was an Internet web hosting and electronic banking processing company located in Glen Rock, New Jersey. One of the computers on the FSI system, identified by the company as "Ralph", was one of several computers on which were stored employee passwords for the FSI computer network. Another computer on this network, a machine in Glen Rock identified by the company as "Trixie", was the primary computer used by employees of FSI for storing customer credit card numbers and related customer data

On or about March 22, 2000, IVANOV and a coconspirator, via an Internet connection from Russia, accessed the Ralph machine and the Trixie machine at FSI without authorization and downloaded: (a) eleven passwords used by FSI employees to access the FSI computer network; and (b) a text file containing approximately 3,500 credit card numbers and associated card holder information for FSI customers. IVANOV shared this information with his coconspirators, knowing that his coconspirators would use this information to defraud or extort FSI. In or about April 2000, IVANOV transferred the stolen FSI passwords to a file named "PASS_FSI" on his laptop computer to facilitate future unauthorized access into the FSI computer network.

On or about March 29, 2000, one of IVANOV's coconspirators, using the aliases "Alexander" and "Grisha," sent an e-mail message from Russia to an employee of FSI in Glen Rock, New Jersey, in which he stated that he was part of a group of computer hackers who had accessed the FSI computer system without authorization and had stolen customer credit card numbers and associated card holder information for FSI customers. In the e-mail message, the coconspirator threatened to publicly release this stolen credit card information unless FSI paid him \$3,000 When the FSI employee failed to immediately agree to pay him \$3,000, this coconspirator sent this same FSI employee an electronic message via the Internet from Russia using the alias "Jimbo" in which he doubled his extortion demand to \$6,000. After further communications with the FSI employee, this coconspirator agreed to settle for an extortion payment of \$5,000 from FSI

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Morgan P Rueckert, Esq. July 31, 2002 Page 19 FILED UNDER SEAL

On or about March 30, 2000, this coconspirator of IVANOV sent an e-mail message to this same FSI employee in which he threatened that he would again access the FSI computer network and cause damage to this network if FSI failed to immediately agree to his demand for an extortion payment of \$5,000. On or about the following dates, as a result of these communications, this coconspirator of defendant ALEXEI V. IVANOV caused FSI to wire a total of \$5,000 to a bank account at Alfabank in Moscow, Russia, as follows:

Date	Amount	
April 5, 2000	\$1,000	
April 17, 2000	\$2,000	
May 2, 2000	\$2,000	

Nara Bank

Nara Bank is a financial institution whose computer systems and headquarters were located in California, and whose deposits were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Between approximately March and April 2000, IVANOV and a coconspirator gained unauthorized access into the Nara Bank computer systems and from approximately march through April stole confidential financial and account information from the bank's computer systems. Files containing several thousand bank accounts numbers and other stolen data were stored on the tech.net ru computer systems.

Between approximately April and October 2000, IVANOV and Gorshkov prepared and sent an e-mail communication to a number of Nara Bank officials revealing that they had access to Nara Bank systems and data, and which was intended to obtain funds and work through the use of fear and threat of economic damage. They also used their unauthorized access to the Nara Bank computer system and bank accounts to further the Paypal fraud scheme, outlined below. IVANOV, Gorshkov and their conspirators used the account data and access to Nara Bank systems to accomplish, at least temporarily, actual transfers of funds from some of its customer accounts to PayPal accounts.

Casinovega

Casinovega is a foreign entity that offered gambling through its web site over the Internet. In March 2000 IVANOV and a conspirator obtained unauthorized access to its computer system and confidential financial data and credit card accounts stored on the system. Casinovega representatives then contacted IVANOV. After IVANOV demonstrated his access and control of the Casinovega system, Casinovega officials paid IVANOV a total of \$4000 out of fear that IVANOV would damage the Casinovega system. He also stole confidential and financial data from these systems, which he stored on the tech net.ru computer systems.

FILED UNDER SEAL

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. July 31, 2002 Page 20

Electronic Data Enterprises, Inc.

Electronic Data Enterprises, Inc. ("E-Money") located in Maryland and Virginia, was a financial transaction clearinghouse that assisted in the processing of merchant credit card and financial transactions in interstate and foreign commerce. Between approximately May and July 2000, IVANOV and a coconspirator gained unauthorized access to the computer systems of E-Money using computer systems in Russia, including those of tech.net.ru. IVANOV stole a credit card account database from E-Money and stored it on the CTS computer systems.

Additional Victims

In the year 2000, ALEXEI IVANOV, Gorshkov and their coconspirators continued their unauthorized access of computer systems in the United States from Russia, including the following. In approximately August, they obtained unauthorized access Central National Bank (CNB) in Waco, Texas and American Bank ("AmBank") in Pennsylvania, the deposits of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Credit card and merchant account numbers were taken from the AmBank computer systems and stored on the tech.net.ru computer systems. They also compromised the computer network of the St. Clair County Intermediate School District in Michigan, using it as a proxy for several illegal purposes.

The PayPal Scheme

An additional number of other computer programs or PERL "scripts" located in Gorshkov's "kvakin" home accounts implemented a fraud scheme against the online auction company E-Bay and the online credit card payment company PayPal. Gorshkov's scripts generated thousands of e-mail addresses at web sites offering free e-mail accounts, opened corresponding accounts at PayPal with stolen credit cards, generated fraudulent or "virtual" auctions at E-Bay, and initiated payments from one PayPal account to another using the stolen credit cards.

IVANOV, Gorshkov, and their coconspirators opened hundreds of accounts at PayPal from several IP addresses, principally 216.122.89.110, which resolved to www.lightrealm.com. in Kirkland, Washington, and 133.78 216.28, registered to Musashi Technical Institute in Japan. Other IP addresses from which PayPal had been defrauded included 212.57.129.2, resolving to www.surnet.ru, located in Moscow, Russia; 140.239 225.222, registered to popstick at Harvardnet, 63 70.149.190, registered to the St. Clair County, Michigan, Intermediate School; 202.155 **, IP addresses registered to an Internet Service Provider located in Jakarta, Indonesia, and others. Additionally, the accounts were opened minutes apart by an automated process. Many of the fraudulent accounts used variants of the names "Greg Stivenson" and "Murat Nasirov"

FILED UNDER SEAL

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq July 31, 2002 Page 21

Examination of the data downloaded from the tech.net.ru computer systems, tech.net.ru and freebsd.tech.net.ru, found over 50,000 access devices that had been stolen from various online merchants and banks in the United States, including several of the victims identified above. PayPal determined that thousands of those stolen credit cards had been used at PayPal by the person or persons who had opened the accounts discussed above. While PayPal managed to block many of the transactions, it has suffered a monetary loss due to the conspirators' activities that included charge backs from the card issuing banks.

In addition, personnel from several of the systems that were identified with the transactions at PayPal – including Lightrealm and the St. Clair County Intermediate School District – determined that their computers had been hacked from IP address 195.128.157.66, registered to tech net.ru. The intruders had taken over their systems and used them as proxies to make other connections to the Internet. As to other compromised systems, the government's examination of evidence found on the tech.net computers established that the IP addresses registered to the Musashi Technical Institute and others also belonged to systems that the defendants had compromised.

IVANOV, Gorshkov and other conspirators solicited sellers of computer parts and other goods, convincing some of these merchants to sell the parts and ship them to Kazakhstan, which is not far from Chelyabinsk. Payment was made to the merchants' PayPal accounts with stolen credit cards

The Invita Scheme

In June 2000, IVANOV was contacted by representatives of Invita Computer Security, Inc. ("Invita") an undercover operation conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation that was based in Seattle, Washington. Throughout the next several months, representatives of Invita discussed working with IVANOV, Gorshkov and tech.net.ru, the Russian company which they managed in Russia and which, they stated, conducted computer security work. IVANOV and Gorshkov agreed to travel to Seattle, Washington, to meet with Invita personnel. Prior to traveling to the United States, IVANOV and Gorshkov offered to demonstrate their hacking skills on Invita's own computers. An Invita network was set up for that purpose, and IVANOV and Gorshkov successfully hacked into it using many of the same tools they had used to hack the victims above. On November 10, 2000, IVANOV and Gorshkov flew from Russia to Seattle, Washington in order to discuss doing business with Invita.

Upon arriving in Seattle, they met with Invita officials. In an effort to again demonstrate their hacking ability, IVANOV and Gorshkov sat down at computers located in the Invita office, and logged on to outside computer systems. IVANOV and Gorshkov also discussed the illegal hacking activity they conducted from tech.net.ru in Russia. They stated that they had hacked a number of American businesses and had obtained money from some of them. They created some of their own hacking tools, and recently had to "hirde" their system in order to hack systems that

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq Morgan P Rueckert, Esq. July 31, 2002 Page 22

Assistant United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Mark L. Krotoski

FILED UNDER SEAL

could trace them They explained that they hacked companies first, then approached them to offer security services, not telling the companies that their systems were already compromised IVANOV explained that in one instance the company paid him \$4000 because the company did not trust him and believed that he could damage them. IVANOV and Gorshkov indicated they would be willing to discuss stolen credit cards with Invita personnel, but only when they were in Russia.

At the end of the meeting IVANOV and Gorshkov were arrested.

understood, however, that the defend	is incorporated into the preceding plea agreement. It is ant and the Government reserve their right to present to the attention of the Court in connection with sentencing. Mark G Califano Shawn J. Chen
The Defendant	Assistant United States Attorneys
O Dan Jon	
C. Thomas Furniss, Esq.	Mark G Califano
Attorney for the Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney
Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq.	Stephen C. Schroeder
Attorney for the Defendant	Floyd Short
,	Assistant United States Attorneys
Arıf Alikhan	Scott S. Christie

Assistant United States Attorney

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. August 1, 2002 Page 22 UNDER SEAL

could trace them. They explained that they hacked companies first, then approached them to offer security services, not telling the companies that their systems were already compromised IVANOV explained that in one instance the company paid him \$4000 because the company did not trust him and believed that he could damage them. IVANOV and Gorshkov indicated they would be willing to discuss stolen credit cards with Invita personnel, but only when they were in Russia.

At the end of the meeting IVANOV and Gorshkov were arrested.

The written stipulation above is incorporated into the preceding plea agreement. It is understood, however, that the defendant and the Government reserve their right to present additional relevant offense conduct to the attention of the Court in connection with sentencing.

Alexey V. Ivanov Mark G. Califano The Defendant Shawn J. Chen Assistant United States Attorneys C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Mark G. Califano Attorney for the Defendant Assistant United States Attorney Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. Stephen C. Schroeder Attorney for the Defendant Floyd Short Assistant)United States Attorneys Arif Alikahn Scott S. Christie Assistant United States Attorney Assistant United States Attorney Mark L. Krotoski Assistant United States Attorney

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. August 1, 2002 Page 22

UNDER SEAL

could trace them. They explained that they hacked companies first, then approached them to offer security services, not telling the companies that their systems were already compromised IVANOV explained that in one instance the company paid him \$4000 because the company did not trust him and believed that he could damage them. IVANOV and Gorshkov indicated they would be willing to discuss stolen credit cards with Invita personnel, but only when they were in Russia.

At the end of the meeting IVANOV and Gorshkov were arrested.

The written stipulation above is incorporated into the preceding plea agreement. It is understood, however, that the defendant and the Government reserve their right to present additional relevant offense conduct to the attention of the Court in connection with sentencing.

Alexey V. Ivanov The Defendant Mark G. Califano Shawn J. Chen Assistant United States Attorneys

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Attorney for the Defendant Mark G. Califano Assistant United States Attorney

Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. Attorney for the Defendant

Stephen C. Schroeder
Floyd Short
Assistant United States Attorneys

Arif Alikahn

Assistant United States Attorney

Scott S. Christie

Assistant United States Attorney

Mark L. Krotoski

Assistant United States Attorney

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. July 31, 2002 Page 22 UNDER S

could trace them. They explained that they hacked companies first, then approached them to security services, not telling the companies that their systems were already compromised IVANOV explained that in one instance the company paid him \$4000 because the company not trust him and believed that he could damage them. IVANOV and Gorshkov indicated the would be willing to discuss stolen credit cards with Invita personnel, but only when they were Russia.

At the end of the meeting IVANOV and Gorshkov were arrested.

The written stipulation above is incorporated into the preceding plea agreement. It is understood, however, that the defendant and the Government reserve their right to present additional relevant offense conduct to the attention of the Court in connection with sentencing

Alexey V. Ivanov
The Defendant

Mark G. Califano Shawn J. Chen Assistant United States Attorneys

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Attorney for the Defendant

Mark G. Califano Assistant United States Attorney

Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. Attorney for the Defendant

Stephen C. Schroeder

Floyd Short

Assistant United States Attorneys

Arif Alikhan

Assistant United States Attorney

Scott S. Christie

Assistant United States Attorney

Mark L. Krotoski

Assistant United States Attorney

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. July 31, 2002 Page 22 UNDER SEAL

could trace them. They explained that they hacked companies first, then approached them to offer security services, not telling the companies that their systems were already compromised IVANOV explained that in one instance the company paid him \$4000 because the company did not trust him and believed that he could damage them. IVANOV and Gorshkov indicated they would be willing to discuss stolen credit cards with Invita personnel, but only when they were in Russia.

At the end of the meeting IVANOV and Gorshkov were arrested.

The written stipulation above is incorporated into the preceding plea agreement. It is understood, however, that the defendant and the Government reserve their right to present additional relevant offense conduct to the attention of the Court in connection with sentencing.

Alexey V. Ivanov Mark G. Califano The Defendant Shawn J. Chen Assistant United States Attorneys C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Mark G. Califano Attorney for the Defendant Assistant United States Attorney Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. Stephen C. Schroeder Attorney for the Defendant Floyd Short Assistant United States Attorneys Arif Alikahn Scott S. Christie Assistant United States Attorney Assistant United States Attorney Mark L. Krotoski Assistant United States Attorney

C. Thomas Furniss, Esq. Morgan P. Rueckert, Esq. July 31, 2002 Page 23 FILED UNDER SEAL

RIDER CONCERNING RESTITUTION

The Court shall order that the defendant make restitution under 18 U.S.C § §3663A. The order of restitution may include:

- 1. If the offense resulted in damage to or loss or destruction of property of a victim of the offense, the order of restitution shall require the defendant to:
 - A. Return the property to the owner of the property or someone designated by the owner; or
 - B. If return of the property is impossible, impracticable, or inadequate, pay an amount equal to:

The greater of -

- (I) the value of the property on the date of the damage, loss, or destruction; or
- (II) the value of the property on the date of sentencing, less the value as of the date the property is returned
- 2. In any case, reimburse the victim for lost income and necessary child care, transportation, and other expenses incurred during participation in the investigation or prosecution of the offense or attendance at proceedings related to the offense.

The order of restitution shall be a condition of probation or supervised release. Failure to make restitution as ordered may result in a revocation of probation, or a modification of the conditions of supervised release, or in the defendant being held in contempt under 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e) Failure to pay restitution may also result in the defendant's resentencing to any sentence which might originally have been imposed by the Court. See 18 U.S.C. §3614. The Court may also order that the defendant give notice to any victim(s) of his offense under 18 U.S.C. § 3555. Finally, the order of restitution has the effect of a civil judgment against the defendant.

٠.

EXHIBIT B

.

- 11	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
5	Plaintiff, 3:00CR183(AWT)
6	vs. AUGUST 2, 2002 HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT
7	
8	ALEXEY IVANOV,
9	Defendant.
10	
11	BEFORE: HON. ALVIN W. THOMPSON, U.S.D.J.
12	
13	SEALED UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT
14	APPEARANCES:
15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
16	OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY BY: MARK CALIFANO, ESQUIRE
17	Assistant United States Attorney 915 Lafayette Boulevard
18	Bridgeport, Connecticut 06604
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
20	FURNISS & QUINN BY: THOMAS FURNISS, ESQUIRE
21	248 Hudson Street Hartford, Connecticut 06106
22	SHIPMAN & GOODWIN
23	BY: MORGAN P. RUECKERT, ESQUIRE One Landmark Square
24	Stamford, Connecticut 06901-2676
25	Corinna F. Thompson, RPR Official Court Reporter

to hear them from Mr. Ivanov. The first one, the extortion, is the probably the toughest one we have psychologically, if you will.

So if we can go to the western district of Washington, which is a general conspiracy, and we've talked with Mr. Califano at some length, Mr. Ivanov will try to explain in a general way what the conspiracy was, the types of coconspirators, the objects of the conspiracy, Alexey, because there were more than one, some of the methods.

Okay? Some of the victims. Shall we proceed that way?

Okay. Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, what happened is me and Mr. Gorshkov, defendant in Seattle case, we were agreed to do some kind of fraud, scheme, to obtain money, to obtain eventually money by defrauding victims on auctions, in addition defrauding online shop.

MR. FURNISS: Auctions like EBay?

THE DEFENDANT: EBay, yes. Online shops like Amazon and Barnes & Noble. We developed scripts which significantly automated process more.

MR. CALIFANO: Mr. Ivanov, the process you're talking about is getting goods by fraud?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. CALIFANO: And what did you -- I'm sorry.

THE DEFENDANT: There was also a number of

people who were working in this scheme. For example --1 MR. FURNISS: "Scheme" are you saying? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: Scheme. 4 MR. FURNISS: Scheme. 5 THE DEFENDANT: For example, goods were 6 delivered to people in different countries, Kazakhstan, because nobody wants to send goods to Russia. They were 7 obtained either by me or Vasily Gorshkov from these drops. 8 9 We also had -- then it was myself. We also 10 had a number of people who were working on these programs, 11 scripts. I was involved in obtaining credit card 12 information which would use as payment for goods. 13 MR. CALIFANO: May I inquire, Your Honor? 14 THE COURT: You may. 15 MR. CALIFANO: Mr. Ivanov, were these -- whose 16 credit cards were these? . THE DEFENDANT: Well, not ours definitely. 17 18 MR. CALIFANO: And was one of the places that 19 you used, that you obtained credit cards located in the 20 state of Washington, at Lightrealm? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 MR. CALIFANO: Was one of these known as Plus Cellular? 23 24 THE DEFENDANT: Well, it wasn't actually 25 Lightrealm's credit card, Lightrealm is a company who

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

provides services to another company. They provide hosting. And credit card from company which was just mentioned by Mr. Califano, Plus Cellular, it was a customer of Lightrealm's. It wasn't the Lightrealm's credit card but it was customers of customers of Lightrealm. MR. CALIFANO: And what would you do once you got those credit cards? THE DEFENDANT: We used them in our scripts to defraud and put them in database and this database was used by scripts to defraud. MR. CALIFANO: I think, Your Honor, that that would be enough to satisfy the conspiracy. THE COURT: And then we have Count 4. MR. CALIFANO: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to cover both together? MR. CALIFANO: I can cover both together. may be easier. As I understand it, Count 4 deals with the unauthorized access and intentional destruction of data at Speakeasy. THE COURT: Yes. MR. FURNISS: Alexey, tell His Honor what you did with Speakeasy and which of your coconspirators and how you did it.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, me and part of a

different conspiracy. I was involved in different -l MR. FURNISS: Different people? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Well, at the same time but it 3 was different groups of people so it was a different 4 5 conspiracy. This count alleged the different conspiracy. So me and another coconspirator, his name б Vladimir Kozhevnikov, K-o-z-h-e-v-n-i-k-o-v, we were get 7 access unauthorized to Speakeasy, this company in 8 9 Washington state. 10 MR. FURNISS: It's an ISP? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. It's actually an ISP 11 12 similar to Lightrealm. It provides hosting services to 13 their customers. Eventually we obtain unauthorized access 14 15 to their credit card and we were involved in communications with representatives from this company and eventually some 16 17 kind of destruction of the data took place and they --18 MR. CALIFANO: May I inquire, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. 19 20 MR. CALIFANO: Mr. Ivanov, in getting access to 21 this Speakeasy computer system, did you have authorization 22 to get access? 23 THE DEFENDANT: No. I said it wasn't 24 authorized.

MR. FURNISS: He said unauthorized.

25

MR. CALIFANO: Where were you operating from 1 2 when you got access to Speakeasy? What computer system 3 were you using? THE DEFENDANT: Well, originally I was in 4 5 Russia. MR. CALIFANO: Was it the tech.net.ru computer б 7 system? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Tech.net.ru didn't exist at this time. It was a computer which was later was used at 9 10 tech.net.ru. MR. CALIFANO: Did you continue to store some 11 12 Speakeasy data on the tech.net.ru computer? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 14 MR. CALIFANO: With respect to your 15 communications with Speakeasy, in communicating with 16 Speakeasy did you indicate to them that you actually had 17 access to their computers? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 MR. CALIFANO: Did you also indicate to them 20 that you had obtained data from their computers? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 MR. CALIFANO: And did you -- and did they 23 agree as a result of that, in part you indicating to them, did they agree to pay you? 24 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. CALIFANO: And did they pay you? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: No. 3 MR. CALIFANO: And when they didn't pay you, what did you do? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Well, it's like I said, some 5 kind of destruction took place. 6 MR. CALIFANO: What did you do? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Well, me and my coconspirator, 8 Vladimir Kozhevnikov, we were agreed to destroy data on 9 their computer. 10 11 MR. CALIFANO: And did you, in fact, do that? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 MR. CALIFANO: Your Honor, I want to ask one 14 question. 15 Mr. Ivanov, did you review the Stipulation of Offense Conduct that's attached to the end of the plea 16 17 agreement? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 MR. CALIFANO: And do you believe it's an accurate statement of the events that occurred? 20 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 MR. CALIFANO: Okay. By the way, with respect 23 not only to Speakeasy but to the other things we talked 24 about with respect to OIB--25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CALIFANO: -- and to the extent that you thought it needed to be changed, did you communicate that to your attorneys and was that changed throughout? In other words, when you had changes to make, did your attorney -were those changes made before you signed it? THE DEFENDANT: I don't think I had any significant changes about OIB. MR. CALIFANO: But to the extent that you had changes in any of the offense conduct, you asked that they be made and they were made, at least to your satisfaction, before you signed it in order to make it accurate; is that correct? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. MR. CALIFANO: Your Honor. THE COURT: Let me just -- are you ready to summarize the government's evidence at this time, Mr. Califano? MR. CALIFANO: Yes. THE COURT: You understand how this works. going to ask you to listen carefully and if there is anything with which you disagree, I want you to tell me. THE DEFENDANT: All right. THE COURT: Mr. Califano. MR. CALIFANO: Mr. Ivanov, Mr. Gorshkov and other persons conspired to conduct a number of things,

including access to computers without authorization and steal credit cards. We would present evidence that as part of that conspiracy, Mr. Ivanov accessed computers in a number of different Internet service providers, including an Internet service known as Lightrealm, including an Internet service provider VPM and a third known as CTS, which is located in California. Lightrealm is located in Seattle, Washington :-

MR. FURNISS: Kirkland.

MR. CALIFANO: Excuse me. Kirkland,
Washington. And VPM is located in the eastern district of
California.

In the course of those intrusions and in furtherance of the conspiracy in particular, the government would present evidence that Mr. Ivanov obtained credit cards from the Plus Cellular database on the Lightrealm servers and Plus Cellular -- we would show from testimony from Plus Cellular that he conducted transactions on those computer systems of Lightrealm that resulted in credit card data of its customers being put on that system.

The evidence we would present would show that Mr. Ivanov took credit cards from that as well as a number of other Internet-based companies around the country and used them along with Mr. Gorshkov to do a number of things in an entity they called tech.net.ru.

Tech.net.ru was formed with Mr. Gorshkov. The evidence would show that there was a set of servers operated by Gorshkov and Mr. Ivanov which were based in Chelyabinsk, Russia. We would produce data he received, along with coconspirators, set of confiscated computer scripts also known as programs. Those programs were designed to take stolen credit card information to open credit card accounts or accounts on Internet payment systems known as PayPals and to use those systems to generate funds in the PayPal accounts and purchase merchandise, including computers.

In addition, the scripts were also designed to open up accounts on EBay, which is a large auction-based communication -- payment system on the Internet -- it's operated in California -- in order to conduct phony auctions and also to induce people to bid on auctions that they initiated. That was in order to generate yet additional funds out of those auctions. That part of the system was not completely executed because they were arrested in Seattle when that occurred.

Finally, we would present evidence that Mr. Ivanov, Mr. Gorshkov communicated with a group of individuals they believed to be a security company in Seattle, Washington known as Invita, and in the course of that communication, Mr. Ivanov and Mr. Gorshkov agreed to

meet and to discuss doing further business, including the solicitation of security from various Internet companies after they already gained unauthorized access to those companies.

Those discussions occurred after Mr.

Gorshkov and Mr. Ivanov had traveled to Seattle and then met with the undercover members of the Invita group, which were actually undercover F.B.I. agents and other individuals.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Ivanov, do you agree with the prosecutor's summary of what you did?

THE DEFENDANT: Well, just a little clarification. My agreement with Mr. Gorshkov was before it took place and I don't believe I can be conspirators with the government agents.

MR. CALIFANO: Your Honor, I think that -- if I may ask a question?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. CALIFANO: Mr. Ivanov, if the agreement began before, did it continue through the time that you were working?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Anything else that you wanted to clarify?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: I think we will now go to the district of New Jersey.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, what happened is at first, like I told you, this is a little bit -- a little just short stories of mine so I can talk.

I am originally from Chelyabinsk and I was invited by different group of people which I connected to Vladimir Kozhevnikov. The purpose of this visit was to do something illegal, to break into companies, obtain credit cards and make some kind of frauds to obtain money.

During at the time FSI company was and I hacked and I got access to this company. Password files and credit card files was downloaded. All people who were involved in this had possession of this information. It was, as far as I remember, it was also understanding to send something to this company about asking them for permission for security services similar to OIB.

At the time in early March and by the time--

MR. FURNISS: Of 2000?

THE DEFENDANT: 2000. Yes. wo weeks later in the middle of March and later some kind of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

district of Washington, we'll go back and we'll pick up -we've done the district of Connecticut. We will start with the western district of Washington, do the remaining four cases and just so people can follow along, the clerk is going to read the docket number from Washington or the other jurisdiction and the number that's been assigned here in the district of Connecticut also. MR. FURNISS: I'm may be extra work and she's going to make me pay. THE CLERK: United States District Court, Western District of Washington at Seattle. United States of America versus Alexey Vladimirovich Ivanov, a/k/a Alexey Ivanov, a/k/a Subbsta. Number CR00-550C, Chief Judge Coughenour. Number here assigned in Connecticut is 3:02CR216(AWT). Superseding indictment. Count 1: The defendant is in violation of Title 18 United States Code Section 371. To Count 1, how do you plead? THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. THE CLERK: Guilty, Your Honor. Count 4: The defendant is in violation of Title 18 United States Code Sections 1030(a)(5)(A) and (c)(3)(A) and Section 2.

To Count 4, how do you plead?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

EXHIBIT C1

	1.6	; U
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
2	THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. CR00-550C	
4	Plaintiff,) Seattle, Washington	
5	v.) October 4, 2001)	
6	VASILIY VYACHESLAVOVICH) VOLUME 10 GORSHKOV, a/k/a VASSILI GORCHKOV,)	
7	a/k/a "kvakin,")	
8	Defendant.)	
9)	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13	For the Plaintiff: Stephen C. Schroeder	
14	Floyd G. Short Assistant U.S. Attorneys	
15	601 Union Street, Suite 5100 Seattle, Washington 98101-3903	
16	For the Defendant: Kenneth E. Kanev	
17	Attorney at Law 1001 Fourth Avenue Plaza, Suite 2120	
18	Seattle, Washington 98154-1109	
19	Robert S. Apgood Attorney at Law	
20	500 Union Street, Suite 510 Seattle, Washington 98101	
21		
22	Joseph F. Roth	;
23	Official Court Reporter 600 U.S. Courthouse	
24	Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 553-1899	
25	Proceedings recorded by computer-aided stenography.	

What was your response when Alexey said, well, you know, 1 tell them that we're big and we've got 20 people working and --2 3 you ended up telling that to the Invita person? 4 Α Yes. What was your response, though, when he asked you to do 5 that? 6 7 My response was I was angry at him, that why should I tell to some people -- and if these people want to do business with 8 me, why should I tell them what is not true? It's not a good 9 idea to begin business with. 10 And I was mad at him that he called me partner. We are not 11 partners and we are not going to be partners. But he persuade 12 me that, look, if we are going to do this business with this 13 guy, I'm going to manage the security part of your business, and 14 15 we can hire 20 people easy. And finally I agree with him, that if we can work with this 16 17 guys in the United States -- and everybody knows that guys from the United States got some money -- we can handle it. We can 18 19 hire all these people. MR. KANEV: Madam Clerk, could you hand the witness 20 21 Exhibit 3a, please. 22 3a is the transcript of the phone conversation that we 23 listened to you. I'm going to ask you not what's in the transcript, but you can use the transcript to refresh your 24 recollection regarding the phone conversation. Pages 5 through 25

```
systems, roughly what time period are you talking about, what
 1
    month or months?
 2
        It's late September, probably it's October, probably it's
 3
    October, October.
 4
 5
       Let me drop back a little to the summer and also into
    September. This is -- we've heard evidence of a second server,
 6
 7
    the freebsd server, at your firm. When did that server come
    into existence and what was its purpose?
 8
 9
        The purpose of freebsd server was to -- to pick up -- one of
    the purpose was to pick up technical server. Second was because
10
    of the difference between this operational systems, it's a UNIX
11
    operational system but there is difference between UNIX and
12
    freebsd.
13
14
        And my guys, who was working on the project, like on Linux
15
    operational system, they say that probably it's not a bad idea
    to check it on both -- both operational systems, and probably
16
    even more than two, just to check whether it's working properly
17
    on Linux, freebsd and possibly on all other UNIX operational
18
19
    system.
        And as far as the personnel at your firm, did it change in
20
    any way from, I guess -- well, during the summer months, from
21
    May to September?
22
        From May to September -- in the beginning of May, maybe it's
23
     into -- I fired this Andrei Popov and Uri. Later I hired
24
25
    another guy -- guys. And at the middle of summer, middle of
```

- 1 July, I fired Karpych.
- 2 Q What happened between Karpych and you that led to his
- 3 | firing?
- 4 A At that time I received complaint from my provider, from my
- 5 internet provider, when I was paying them, that they received
- 6 | complaint that there was scanning that was originated from my
- 7 | system, and I asked -- I asked Karpych to handle the situation,
- 8 to figure out what happened and how it happened.
- 9 Q Why did you ask Karpych to handle it?
- 10 A Because he was system administrator.
- 11 Q And did he handle the situation?
- 12 A He -- he react the way that it's not a problem at all, and
- 13 meaning it's not a problem to handle situation, but the scanning
- 14 | is not a problem at all.
- 15 | Q And how did you respond to that?
- 16 A I was angry with him, because I'm giving him orders and he
- 17 don't do it.
- 18 | Q And as a result what happened?
- 19 A As a result he got fired.
- 20 | Q Did you do any investigation on your own on this reported
- 21 complaint about scanning coming from your internet provider?
- 22 A I didn't do it on my own. I asked Deniz to check, was it
- 23 | all right, was everything fine.
- 24 | Q Now, Deniz, did -- who took over, if there was someone to
- 25 take over from Karpych, as system administrator?

```
1
        It was Deniz, but it wasn't like system administrator.
    He -- first of all, when Karpych get fired, I consult with Deniz
 2
    whether we need another administrator or Deniz can handle the
 3
    situation, and Deniz persuade me that Karpych installed
 4
    everything, and once system is installed, possibly nothing --
 5
 6
    there's nothing to do with this. It must work properly all the
 7
    time.
      Okay. And were there any other personnel changes during the
 8
     summer, up until you were devoting some time to security
 9
    research?
10
        At the end of the summer, but it would be September. When I
11
12
    was researching all the security stuff, I found out that a lot
    of machines on the internet, like on this Alta Vista and all
13
14
    this stuff, percentage of machines on internet, and I found out
    a big amount of machines is NT and Windows NT. So I hired Maxim
15
    Semenov.
16
        Okay. And anyone else?
17
18
    Α
        No.
19
        Okay. Roughly, then, September, October, how many people
    were working at your firm?
20
        It was six people.
21
22
        And at that time -- and I think you left it where Invita had
     asked for passport information, according to Ivanov -- what was
23
    your view as far as Invita's business was concerned? Did you
24
```

understand that they were a legitimate or an illegitimate

25

- 1 business in Seattle?
- 2 A I didn't even question it, because for me it was absolutely
- 3 | legitimate guys. I didn't even think about it.
- 4 Q Was there anything illegal suggested to you in the one and
- 5 only phone conversation?
- 6 A No, no.
- 7 Q Was there anything that Ivanov said to you that raised any
- 8 questions in your mind?
- 9 A No.
- 10 | Q When did you find out that a trip to Seattle would be
- 11 | worthwhile and that Invita was serious about talking to Ivanov
- 12 | and you in Seattle?
- 13 A When?
- 14 | Q Yes.
- 15 A It was some day in August, some day in August.
- 16 Q Okay. And did it take awhile then before you were ready to
- 17 | actually leave? And, if so, what was the reason that there was
- 18 | that delay, as you understood it?
- 19 A Alexey complained to me that these guys a little slow in
- 20 response. He said there is big delays between his e-mail and
- 21 us, and he couldn't handle it. And for me it was I didn't -- I
- 22 wasn't in a hurry, so I could wait.
- 23 Q And what about a test hack that Ivanov was given to do by
- 24 | Invita? Did you ever learn about that?
- 25 A At the end of October; maybe even beginning of November,

- 1 right before flight, one, maybe two weeks, he says that Invita
- 2 provided finally this test -- test computer, and he did hack his
- 3 computer.
- 4 | Q And did you think there was anything unusual about that?
- 5 A It was unusual from my conversation when I was asking for
- 6 | this -- for some specific task, and until this hack or this
- 7 | test, it was several months.
- 8 Q Just the delay you found unusual?
- 9 A Just delay, yes.
- 10 Q And what was your understanding as far as whether that hack
- 11 | was with permission?
- 12 A For me it was apparent that it was with permission.
- 13 | Q Now, before you left Chelyabinsk did you learn anything more
- 14 about Invita from Mr. Ivanov?
- 15 A Before I left Chelyabinsk, no, nothing, not about -- no.
- 16 Q Okay. And the trip to Seattle, you spent 30 hours getting
- 17 | from Chelyabinsk to Moscow to Seattle, correct, or thereabouts?
- 18 A Even more, even more, about 34, 35.
- 19 | Q And during that trip did the topic of Invita and this
- 20 business meeting that he had arranged, did it come up?
- 21 A Yes, of course we talk about it.
- 22 | Q And could you tell the jury, as best you recall, in sequence
- 23 | what all was discussed?
- 24 A In the plane, in the plane -- in the plane from Moscow to
- 25 | Seattle there was a big talk, and I was very upset about this

```
talk. Alexey explained to me, he said basically --
1
2
             MR. SCHROEDER: I'm going to object, Your Honor.
3
    believe this is all hearsay, Alexey's side of the conversation.
    He's not here to be cross-examined.
4
             MR. KANEV: There are legal issues. Maybe --
 5
             THE COURT: All right. Why don't you step upstairs,
 6
7
    folks, and you'll be up there at least 15 minutes.
        (Jury retires to the jury room.)
8
 9
             MR. KANEV: Your Honor, there are a number of
    discussions that I would propose to go into with the witness as
10
    far as what Ivanov said to him prior to the meeting at Invita.
11
    Our argument is that these are not -- this is not hearsay, that
12
13
    it would qualify under 801(d)(2)(e), which is admission by a
14
    party opponent, and it's the co-conspirator in furtherance of
15
    the conspiracy statement. The rule does provide for admission
16
     if it's a, quote, party opponent.
        I have not found any definitive case law out of the Ninth
17
    Circuit on whether in the context of where you have two parties,
18
    both named alleged co-conspirators, Ivanov and Gorshkov in this
19
    case, whether one of those parties, if the defenses are
20
     antagonistic, as they clearly are here, whether the second party
21
22
    defendant then becomes party opponent under the language of
     801(d)(2)(e).
23
24
        I would argue that there's no reason why that exception
25
     should not apply, and it would take it out of being hearsay,
```

```
1
    because 801 talks in terms --
             THE COURT: Come up with another argument, Mr. Kanev.
2
3
    You're not getting very far with that one.
             MR. KANEV: Well, the second argument is it goes to --
4
    it's circumstantial evidence explaining what the listener of the
5
    conversation did, in this case not only what he did, but what he
6
 7
    said, at the Invita meeting. It's not introduced for the truth
    of it, and only then as --'
8
             THE COURT: What is he going to testify that Ivanov
 9
10
    said?
11
             MR. KANEV: Well, Ivanov said a number of things to
12
    him, and essentially convinced him that he had to play the role
13
    of hacker, meaning criminal hacker, in the Invita meeting if he
14
    wanted -- if he, Mr. Gorshkov, wanted to get legitimate web
15
    site, web design business out of the thing.
16
        And the testimony will be that Mr. Gorshkov wasn't -- well,
17
    he was upset, as I think has already come out, and that there
    were further discussions, and then Ivanov filled him in on a
18
19
    number of things, including CTS, Lightrealm, which was all news
    to my client, which then came out at the discussion with the
20
    Invita people.
21
22
        So on the second theory of admissibility --
23
              THE COURT: That's a pretty tough sell, Mr. Kanev.
    Well, I'm going to let you make the argument, and I'm going to
24
25
    admit it for state of mind purposes.
```

```
MR. SCHROEDER: You're going to admit it, Your Honor?
1
             THE COURT: Yes.
2
             MR. KANEV: And that is the argument. Does Your Honor
3
    want to hear further on that?
4
 5
             THE COURT: No.
             MR. SCHROEDER: Well, of course, we don't want it
 6
7
    admitted, but Your Honor has ruled. But will the jury be
    instructed that --
8
             THE COURT: It comes in only for state of mind
 9
10
    purposes.
11
             MR. SCHROEDER: Cannot be considered for the truth of
12
    the matter.
13
             THE COURT: That is asserted in the contents of the
14
    statement.
15
             MR. SCHROEDER: Well, the problem is it's a terrible
    bootstrap, because they're going to argue that he -- that the
16
    information that he relates to this undercover was received from
17
18
    Ivanov, which, frankly, is -- and that he then entered into the
19
    role, which is frankly an admission of joining a conspiracy, and
    yet they want to bootstrap it in a way they want their cake and
20
    eat it, too, and I think it's a really an unfair way for this
21
22
    evidence to come in.
              THE COURT: I'm going to let it in. All right.
23
    take 15 minutes. Before we go, have you given thought to what
24
    we talked about before lunch?
25
```

```
1
    earlier version?
2
    Α
        Yes.
3
        Thank you. And the last --
4
        The last one is a marriage agency.
        A marriage agency?
5
6
    Α
        Yes.
        Was your business -- your firm involved in working up the
7
    concept of a web site for that type of agency?
8
9
        Yes, but it wasn't ordered from -- from some company in
    Chelyabinsk that wants to make a set, it was --
10
11
        How was it that you started working on it if you didn't
12
    actually have an order for it?
13
        I was -- in the summer of 2000 I was looking for project to
    develop, and I was looking again through internet sites, it's
14
15
    got a lot of customers, so whatever, and I was finding the sites
16
    by marriage agents, shops, job seekers, search -- search
    engines.
17
              MR. KANEV: I'd move the admission of A-6 through -9
18
19
              MR. SCHROEDER: No objection.
20
              THE COURT: They'll be admitted.
             (Defense Exs. Nos. A-6 through A-9 admitted.)
21
         (By Mr. Kanev) Now, Mr. Gorshkov, back to the trip from
22
    Chelyabinsk to Seattle, I think you were at the point where
23
24
    there were discussions between you and Mr. Ivanov, is that
25
    correct?
```

```
1
        Yes.
    Α
2
        What was discussed between you two on your flight to
3
    Seattle?
             MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, this was the point I
 4
    objected, and Your Honor indicated there would be a limiting
5
    instruction.
 6
             THE COURT: Yes. Ladies and gentlemen, Mr. Ivanov, of
 7
    course, is not here to testify and is not subject to
 8
    cross-examination. His statements are not being admitted for
 9
    the truth of the contents of the statement, but only to assist
10
    you in evaluating the defendant's state of mind. Okay?
11
12
             MR. KANEV: Thank you.
         (By Mr. Kanev) Could you in sequence, if you can, tell us
13
    what was discussed, what did he say and what was your response?
14
15
        I was told that we supposed to be -- to get this job, to get
16
    this contract to this business partnership, these guys wants
    hackers on their jobs, the guys who already did a lot of the
17
    stuff they supposed to fight with. They work -- they want some
18
    guys who got experience, who knows -- who has knowledge how to
19
20
    hack, how to penetrate, how to, how to -- who know -- who got
    knowledge about systems, who know how to hack, who knows how to
21
    protect and how to hack, who got experience.
22
        And when you're using the term "hack" -- and this is --
23
24
     that's the word in Russian, I take it, that Ivanov used in
```

telling you this?

```
1 A Yes, but it was different than this.
```

- 2 Q But when he used the word "hack," what did you understand
- 3 him to mean, that these guys, the Invita guys, wanted hackers?
- 4 A I understood that these guy want hackers who -- who did it
- 5 before, and that means that -- not just knowledge about how to
- 6 do it, not just knowledge -- not just knowledge of the systems,
- 7 | not just knowledge of the security of the system, but who got
- 8 advantage of the system without permission of the owners of the
- 9 company.
- 10 Q And how did you respond to that?
- 11 A I was upset. I was angry. I was -- I don't know. It
- 12 was -- we were already on this plane, and we couldn't
- 13 develop this -- I can't talk to these guys before about this.
- 14 | If I did get this information before, probably I would try to
- 15 | talk to these guys to get from this -- from another site, not
- 16 | just from Alexey, this information.
- 17 Q I'm sorry, you would try to get from --
- 18 A To get -- to talk to Invita guys to get this information,
- 19 how -- what do they need, and why they look for us at this
- 20 point.
- 21 | Q Why would you want to, in that situation, have spoken to the
- 22 | Invita people, rather than Ivanov?
- 23 A Because I would try to get information from them that we're
- 24 | not engaged in anything illegal, that these guys got legitimate
- 25 | business and they want to develop this business with Russian,

```
Russian guys, because Russian programmers and people who knows
1
    the security, they just easy to find, and less to pay.
2
        How long did you talk to Ivanov about this in terms of
3
    minutes or hours?
4
        Probably it was half of our flight to Seattle.
5
        And was this all at one time, or were there numerous
6
7
    conversations?
        It was one conversations, it was -- sometimes just end to
8
    nothing, and later, 20 minutes, half an hour, we begin to start
9
    to talk again about the same.
10
        Did he tell you anything else, as far as what he had done,
11
    activities in the past?
12
             MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, at this point I don't see
13
    how the details of exploits --
14
15
              THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that objection.
         (By Mr. Kanev) Was there any other conversation
16
    regarding -- oh, from Ivanov, regarding what you should do, or
17
    what you should say when you met with the Invita people?
18
    A Basically Ivanov persuaded me that I should act like -- like
19
20
    I got a lot of experiences and stuff, like I know how to do it,
    I've done it before, and I can do it at any time. And he
21
    persuaded me. He told me that it's normal, everybody do it,
22
    and it's okay. I got the job at Lightrealm this way. I got the
23
    job at CTS this way. And --
24
25
             MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor. The details, I
```

```
don't --
 1
 2
              THE COURT: He's answered the question. Ask another
 3
    question.
         (By Mr. Kanev) We've learned he's 19. And you were 25 at
 4
 5
    the time?
        Yes.
 6
    Α
 7
        How was it that he was able to persuade you?
        Basically it was my ideas that he going to manage this part
 8
    of business with this Invita guys at the end if we got this
 9
10
    contract, and I can get -- and I can develop my -- my major
    idea, my idea of this international joint venture, where jobs
11
12
    and the contracts in the United States and people in Russia.
13
        And when you arrived in Seattle, were you met by the Invita
14
    people?
15
    Α
        Yes.
16
        And we heard testimony as far as travel from the airport up
    to the Invita office. There was conversation in the car, is
17
    that correct?
18
19
    Α
        Yes.
20
        And do you recall what was discussed in the car?
        Yes, I do.
21
    Α
22
        And did you at times in the car and also at the meeting act
    as interpreter for Ivanov?
23
24
        Yes, I had to do it.
```

25

Q

And why was that?

- 1 | indicates a time of roughly 4:56, what does the log and his
- 2 | movement indicate to you? The video screen, of course, says
- 3 | five o'clock. But what does that indicate to you, if anything?
- 4 A He runs this SuperScan program. And, by the way, when we
- 5 | were at meeting, sometimes when -- I asked him what are you
- 6 doing, and he said that this guy wanted me to check -- to check
- 7 | the network again.
- 8 Q To check what, sir?
- 9 A Network, network, this test computer.
- 10 Q Now, during the course of the Invita meeting you said a
- 11 | number of things. And were most of the things that you said
- 12 | true or not true?
- 13 A Basically everything what I was saying, not everything, but
- 14 | most of the -- part of it, it wasn't true.
- 15 Q And why were you saying things that were not true in the
- 16 | Invita undercover meeting?
- 17 | A The major reason because I was stupid to agree with Alexey
- 18 | to play this role of hacker.
- 19 Q Is there any other reason?
- 20 A And I wanted to get this job for my web development to
- 21 get -- to develop this partnership with guys I don't really
- 22 know.
- 23 Q And the web development job that you wanted to get, was
- 24 | that, in your mind, a legal job or an illegal job?
- 25 A Of course it's legal.

- 1 Q Why do you say, "of course"?
- 2 A Because I didn't do illegal.
- 3 | Q Your web design was legal activity?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q At some point in the conversation there was mention of
- 6 Microsoft software being sold in Moscow, I think.
- 7 A Not only Moscow, in Chelyabinsk, everywhere.
- 8 Q And I think you indicated that they have difficulty broke --
- 9 to broke the sale of pirated software, is that what you were
- 10 saying?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 | Q Did you use the word "broke"?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q What did you mean that word to mean in that context?
- 15 A In that context I meant to stop, to prevent.
- 16 Q To prevent --
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 | Q -- the sale of pirated software?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q Now, you scanned the local network, is that correct, for
- 21 Invita when you got there?
- 22 A It can be called scanned.
- 23 Q And did you believe that you had permission from Invita to
- 24 | do what you were doing?
- 25 A That's what I thought at that moment.

- 1 Q And was there a time that you asked someone with Invita,
- 2 perhaps Agent Mallon, the woman FBI agent who we have heard
- 3 from, for permission to do more than just the local area?
- 4 A I asked them whether I scanned, because they ask me to do --
- 5 because in the car and in this room they're saying that they
- 6 | made it more secure and you probably will get troubles to
- 7 | penetrate into our system.
- 8 And I scanned the system and I could see that it's unsecure.
- 9 And so I ask her whether I can get access to -- to computers,
- 10 | not just get information about computers, as it were, but get
- 11 access to computers.
- 12 | Q Okay. And was the access granted?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q There was discussion, and I think you mentioned, they asked
- 15 | you -- and Mr. Pace was pressing, have you ever hacked. Give us
- 16 an example of whether you've hacked. Were you able to get an
- 17 | example to Mr. Pace?
- 18 | A Basically I was trying to bring something, and I did
- 19 remember one example of Verio stuff.
- 20 | Q Verio stuff?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q What example do you remember of Verio stuff that you were
- 23 | talking about?
- 24 | A Because I couldn't from my experience pick up any hack, so I
- 25 | came up with this Verio stuff, which difficult to call hack,

- 1 because it was an open directory, they store all information of
- 2 full users.
- 3 | Q So your conversation about a Webcom.com hack, can you
- 4 explain to the jury what you meant in that meeting?
- 5 A I was trying to -- to give these guys -- I was playing this
- 6 role of this hacker, experienced hacker, and because this guy
- 7 | were pushing show -- was always doing show us something, show us
- 8 | something, but I couldn't come up with any example, but -- with
- 9 examples that -- not even hacked, but I called hacked, and I
- 10 | didn't -- I didn't want to further elaborate this explanation,
- 11 because, in my mind, if they -- if they at that time would find
- 12 out what was that, they would never call this hack.
- 13 Q And what was it that you were calling a hack that you were
- 14 | telling them about regarding Webcom.com?
- 15 A It was in the Verio system, in the open area, in the gmp
- 16 directory, was stored information about accounts of the people
- 17 who open accounts in this -- in this company.
- 18 | Q And was it -- was there testimony in the trial about this
- 19 | hole, I guess?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And was that from the Verio person --
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q -- the other day? Did you ever tell anyone at your firm
- 24 | about the hole in Verio when you found it, or at any time after
- 25 | you found it?

- 1 A I did tell it to -- to Alexey, but it was before firm, it
- 2 was before firm appeared.
- 3 Q And so what did you tell Alexey before the firm? Could you
- 4 put a date on it, or an approximate date?
- 5 A It was fall of 1999, when I first met Alexey.
- 6 Q Okay. And what was the discussion that you told Alexey
- 7 | about?
- 8 A We -- we discussed that I wanted to open a company that web
- 9 design, web -- web development company, and I did tell him that
- 10 I doing research and, by accident, what I found. I didn't show
- 11 him what I found. I just tell him that I found that -- a GMP
- 12 | directory at Verio that says store and open -- open password,
- 13 open information of the people who open accounts in this
- 14 | company.
- 15 Q There was conversation about credit cards at the Invita
- 16 meeting. Do you recall that?
- 17 A It was several times. It's not very much.
- 18 | Q Okay. And you said something that you would not talk about
- 19 | credit cards in America or in Seattle. Do you recall that being
- 20 | discussed in the meeting?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q Can you tell the jury what that context was and what you
- 23 | were saying?
- 24 A I don't remember exactly what -- when it was first time.
- 25 | First time, when we begin to discuss all this stuff, I was

```
1
    surprised that they go somewhere not in the direction that I
2
    expect these guys to go, because I didn't want to -- I really
 3
    didn't want to discuss and go that far. I didn't -- I wasn't
    happy with my role of hacker, or some guy who knows a lot, and I
 4
    really don't know nothing about this stuff.
 5
        And I was trying to play on this crowd. And when they asked
 6
    about -- about credit cards -- first time they ask about credit
 7
    cards, I was thinking maybe they trying to check me, maybe they
 8
    trying to -- to -- to check me, whether they can do business
 9
    with me or not. Whether if we get to do this development and
10
    security job, whether at the first opportunity we will sell
11
    these credit cards all around the world.
12
13
         They were trying to check you on that?
14
    Α
        Yes.
         Why did you -- what was your response to that? You said
15
16
    that was your first response.
17
         I said to them that, look, it's -- I don't want to discuss
18
     it, this question, but to discuss in Russia. Meaning that if we
    got this deal and if we want to award this out, I will not work
19
20
    on this anyway, it's not my job, it's Alexey -- Alexey's job and
    Alexey will handle this stuff.
21
        And basically --
22
        Now, after the Invita meeting you were questioned by Agent
23
24
     Schuler and Agent Prewett that first evening, is that correct?
```

25

Α

Yes.

EXHIBIT C2

```
1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
 2
              THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
                          AT SEATTLE
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                            Case No. CR00-550C
                       Plaintiff,
 5
                                            Seattle, Washington
 6
             v.
                                            October 5, 2001
 7
    VASILIY VYACHESLAVOVICH
                                            VOLUME 11
    GORSHKOV, a/k/a VASSILI GORCHKOV,)
     a/k/a "kvakın,"
 8
 9
                       Defendant.
10
11
                  TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12
           BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
    For the Plaintiff:
                            Stephen C. Schroeder
15
                            Floyd G. Short
                            Assistant U.S. Attorneys
16
                            601 Union Street, Suite 5100
                            Seattle, Washington 98101-3903
17
    For the Defendant:
                            Kenneth E. Kanev
18
                            Attorney at Law
                            1001 Fourth Avenue Plaza, Suite 2120
19
                            Seattle, Washington 98154-1109
20
                            Robert S. Apgood
                            Attorney at Law
21
                            500 Union Street, Suite 510
                            Seattle, Washington 98101
22
     Joseph F. Roth
23
     Official Court Reporter
     600 U.S. Courthouse
     Seattle, Washington 98104
24
     (206) 553-1899
25
     Proceedings recorded by computer-aided stenography.
```

```
1800
                         Vasiliy Gorshkov - cross
    site was finished.
                         They placed it somewhere. It wasn't my
1
 2
    problem to place it somewhere, for example, who wrote for the --
        Well, how about the Formula One site, did you pay for name
 3
    service for that site?
4
 5
        No, I didn't pay for that site.
        Did you think that was unusual that you weren't getting
 6
    bills for name service?
 7
        I found -- I found out that -- I found out that my name
 8
    com.ru is a free name. You can release it. I found it in
 9
10
               That's why I order it -- that to release it. ru just
11
    meant ru. Like Uralton.ru, it's a paying domain. You have to
12
    pay for it. For com.ru, net.ru, all this second -- org.ru, what
13
    else, all those domains, you can release it for free.
        Did you ever go to the public registration sites and see
14
15
    where the name service for your web sites were actually located?
        No, never.
16
    Α
        You never -- you never looked them up?
17
18
        Never looked them up. And even if I did, I would -- I would
19
    did, I would never understand whether it's proper or not.
20
        You wouldn't have understood that they were being hosted at
21
    a school in Michigan in the United States?
```

22 A I wouldn't understand. For me, it's just like -- I don't

know. For me, all the numbers were -- and still I don't know,

what does it mean, where --

23

24

25

Q You were the manager, weren't you, sir?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 | Q You were responsible for the bills?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 | Q You were responsible for providing service to your
- 5 | customers?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q Now, when your ISP complained that it was getting scanned
- 8 from tech.net, did you look into that?
- 9 A I ordered my employee to look into it.
- 10 | Q Was that Deniz?
- 11 A No, it was Karpych, and he didn't comply with my order.
- 12 | That was our problem, and I solved this problem.
- 13 Q Well, didn't you testify that you asked Deniz, your new
- 14 employee, to check out the complaints from the ISP?
- 15 A At that time he was a new employee, but, yes, after Karpych
- 16 | was fired, I asked Deniz to review all this stuff.
- 17 | Q But you didn't follow up on that yourself?
- 18 A How could I?
- 19 | Q Now, you received a call on July 14th, or perhaps 15th, in
- 20 Russia from the United States, didn't you, sir?
- 21 A Yes, I did.
- 22 | Q And when you answered the phone, isn't it true that you
- 23 | spoke for Alexey?
- 24 A No, it's not true. I spoke for myself.
- 25 | Q Didn't Mr. Michael Patterson ask you twice if you were

```
1 | web site?
```

- 2 A It wasn't purchases over web site, it was orders that could
- 3 | be covered and later Formula One will deliver the stuff, or
- 4 | people who wants to buy something will go to the shops to get
- 5 | the stuff. Just orders to go.
- 6 Q Would customers be using their credit cards over those web
- 7 pages?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q No?
- 10 A No.
- 11 | Q If you were unaware of the plan to collaborate with the
- 12 American company in hacking, why did you tell them on July 14th
- 13 | that you had three or four hackers working for you?
- 14 | A I was aware about collaborating with American company, and I
- 15 was aware that they want to hire guys from Russia who know
- 16 | something about security, and I said to them that, look, we can
- 17 | hire -- that we already got people who know the stuff, hackers,
- 18 | you can call hackers.
- 19 Q Hacking, breaking into systems, right, sir?
- 20 A Hacking meaning knowing the system.
- 21 Q Hacking what?
- 22 A Knowing, to know system.
- 23 Q Well, in the undercover meeting when you said, page 113,
- 24 | "You know, in Russia we, ah, can broke or hack into a system --"
- 25 A Can I get the transcript?

```
1
        "-- but when we're here, we don't want to."
             THE COURT: Page number of the transcript.
2
             MR. SCHROEDER: 113.
3
             THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't get the
4
 5
    transcript. I don't know. It's phone conversation. I got
б
    phone conversation. I don't have Invita.
7
             THE COURT: Yes. What's the exhibit number?
             MR. KANEV: 1c.
8
             MR. SCHROEDER: 1c. This is the one in front of the
9
10
    jury.
             MR. KANEV: Is that the final version, counsel?
11
12
             MR. SCHROEDER: Yes.
    A
        What page?
13
        (By Mr. Schroeder) Page 113, sir.
14
15
    Α
        Mm-hmm.
        Now, when you said, "You know, in Russia we can, ah, broke
16
    or hack into a system, but when we're here, we don't want to,"
17
    in what sense were you meaning that word, sir?
18
        And what -- exactly what, hack? I was saying that here --
19
    basically I was saying to them, look, we don't want to spend
20
    time here on this -- showing these problems. We can do it. We
21
    can manage this work. But right now really -- and one of the
22
23
    reasons I was saying this, because if this guy were still
    pushing to do something, I just wasn't able to do it.
24
        In fact, weren't you worried about the FBI in the United
25
```

```
1
    States if you did hacking here?
        About FBI here, when it was conversation in Invita, Alexey
2
    bring this word FBI, and I just pick up this word and we use it
3
4
    in all -- all the conversation.
        All right, sir. Then on page 139, when you say, "I can tell
5
    you. We, ah, try to rake it, you can say, from companies. A
 6
    few months ago we tried, but we found it's not, um, profitable.
    It's better to hack, hack, hack." In what sense were you using
8
    the word then, sir?
9
        I was telling these guys -- I was playing this stupid role
10
    of the hacker, and basically everyone knows this word "rake," I
11
    still don't use it and still don't know. I don't know how it
12
13
    come up in my conversation, but --
        But didn't you review the transcript, sir?
14
15
         I reviewed it, and it's exactly right. And it sounds
    like --
16
        You didn't make a change on that one, did you, sir?
17
         I didn't change, because it sounds like "rake." Maybe I
18
    said it. I don't know where -- I still don't use this word, and
19
     I still don't know exact meaning of this word.
20
         But here I was still playing this role, this role of hacker,
21
22
     that we got -- that we've done that, we've done this, and we
    know everything, how to do it, we've got lots of experience.
23
    But right now we don't do it, because -- we don't do it, because
24
```

it's not that profitable. "That's what I said.

```
1807
                         Vasiliy Gorshkov - cross
        That's not what you said. The transcript reflects what you
1,
    actually said?
2
        Yes, it says, "but we found it's not such profitable."
3
4
             MR. SCHROEDER: May I have just a moment, Your Honor,
5
    please?
             THE COURT: Yes.
6
7
       (Brief Pause.)
8
             MR. SCHROEDER: Mr. Gorshkov, I won't trouble you with
    any more questions at this time. Mr. Short --
 9
             MR. KANEV: I object to the form of the question.
10
              THE COURT: Overruled.
11
12
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MR. SHORT:
13
        Mr. Gorshkov, your user name on these computers that we've
14
    been talking about, tech.net and freebsd, was kvakin?
15
        Yes.
16
    Α
17
        And your password on those accounts was c-f-v-l-e-v-f-q?
        I don't remember how -- how you spell it, but it's Russian
18
19
    word, so I do remember it. Probably you're right, probably
20
    absolutely right.
21
    Q Why don't you take a look at Exhibit 12. If we could have
    that. And why don't we take a look at page 10. Yeah, that
22
    would be good to start with that section. On page on 10, at the
23
    top there, it indicates you putting in your user name kvakin and
24
```

then the password that I just read, is that right?

EXHIBIT D

5

,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 6 Plaintiff, Case CR00-550C 7 vs. October 1, 2001 VASILIY V. GORSHKOV, 9:30 a.m. A/k/a VASSILI GORCHKOV, a/k/a "kvakin," COPY 10 Defendant. 11 12 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - VOLUME VII BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 14 15 APPEARANCES: 16 On Behalf of the United States: FLOYD G. SHORT STEPHEN C. SCHROEDER 17 Attorneys at Law On Behalf of the Defendant: KENNETH E. KANEV ROBERT APGOOD 19 Attorneys at Law Also Present: Linda Noble Official Interpreter 21 22 Caroline R. Castle Official Court Reporter (206) 553-1899 24 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer. ...

- A Partly by interview with Agent Schuler, and the other aspect is that in that the files were transferred, as Agent Schuler was logged into the kvakın account, with FTP. He had to have a read access to the files to obtain them. As well, there's a history file. And that is reflected in the commands, that that's where the file came from.
- Q So based on the logs of the downloading process and the activity of Agent Schuler, you're able to say those were in kvakin's account?
- 10 A Yes, I am.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

- Q All right. Let's talk about data from these two machines that simply wasn't obtained at all. In the course of reconstructing these file systems, did you learn about some files like that, files that existed on the system but simply weren't copied?
- 16 A Yes.

18

19

20i

21

22

24

- 17 Q What can you tell us about those?
 - A There are great volumes of system files that weren't picked up. There are data files, there are account files belonging to other users that could not be picked up and were not picked up due to permissions issues. There were other files that weren't known at the time of the download that weren't picked up that we learned about subsequently on analyzing the downloaded data.
 - Q Okay. How about encrypted material? Was there some of

that?

1

2

3

6

7

8

- A Yes. There was one entire subtree of encrypted information, and we weren't able to make anything of it.
- Q Now, you also mentioned an incomplete transfer of a file.

 Tell us about that.
 - A There's a file that was used--there was a file that contained the contents of the kvakın_nt directory and files and subdirectories from the freebsd system. And this file should have been, I believe the number was, approximately
- 10 320 megabytes in length. And only 240 megabytes were
- 11 transferred.
- 12 Q So what are the implications of that?
- A The implications are that the remaining 80 megabytes were not transferred.
- 15 Q What about the material that did arrive?
- 16 A Because of the way that a tar file is created, all of the
- information that was received was received intact. All of the
- 18 information that wasn't received wasn't received. And what
- 19 we'd be left with at the very end of this file is at most one
- 20 file that would have been truncated or damaged.
- 21 Q Okay. Can you explain that by way of some analogy? Is
- there some real-world analogy you can use to describe how that
- 23 tar transmission process works?
- 24 A Yeah. Think about it as a truck pulls up to a loading
- 25 dock, and the people on the truck are now unloading boxes. And

you get 50 boxes off the truck and there's 20 more to go, and the truck all of a sudden abruptly pulls away. And the person's about to hand you the last box, and it falls on the ground and breaks open. You get some of the contents intact, but everything unloaded you received intact. What was on the truck when it drove away you just didn't receive it all.

- Q And does the fact that not everything was obtained in that tar file, does that affect the reliability of the data received?
- 10 A No, it doesn't.

1

2

3

6

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

- 11 Q You mentioned that there were some files that you didn't
 12 learn about until later that were on the system and not
 13 obtained. Are you referring to some databases?
 - A Yes. When analyzing-there are several scripts on the system. When analyzing those, we found evidence indicating the presence of two databases and a variety of tables. And then databases were identified as mm and mm1.

And going back to the download logs, was able to locate the presence of database software and the location where these files would normally have lived on the system. And, indeed, the files couldn't be downloaded because those areas were protected from the regular users.

- Q You mentioned some scripts. Do the scripts actually have a mechanism for accessing the database?
- 25 A Yes, they do. Scripts actually reference that they should

- connect to the local computer often on Port 3306. They would connect as root. And the scripts also had embedded within them the password to connect.
- Q So anyone who had the script could run it and get access to the database?
- A That's correct.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

- Q Let's talk about these two Russian computers. And, in fact, let's talk about the network they were on. Were you able to determine how many computers were actually networked together with the tech.net and freebsd computers?
- A On the basis of looking at the W-temp file and the information we have, we're aware of tech.net.ru and freebsd.tech.net.ru. The W-temp files also indicate approximately eight other PCs. Those would be PCs that were probably running Windows or Windows NT.
- Q Are there logs that also tell you about the hardware of these computers?
- A Yes, there are. On each system--whenever a Unix system
 starts, it writes startup messages to a log file. These are
 typically retained for diagnostic purposes. Each system
 creates a file that contains the information that describes the
 operating system, the hardware on the system, the layout of the
 hard disk, the date the operating system was built, as well as
 the boot time.
 - Q Why don't we start with the tech.net computer. Can I ask

- A That matches what we saw in the portion of the script that fed the password field.
- Q If we could scan across there. How about that birth date?
- A 10/10/69.

1

- 5 Q And does this indicate that these were established at least
- 6 the first portion here--in August, August 23rd of 2000?
- 7 A Yes, it does.
- 9 established IP. Does that IP--is that familiar to you?
- 10 A 133.78? Yes it is. That's for a computer named Pony at
- 11 the Musashi Institute of Technology in Tokyo, Japan.
- 12 Q Is that IP address familiar to you?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q What do you know about that?
- 15 A The computer was likely compromised and was used as a proxy
- or redirect server in targeting other information.
- 17 Q And you saw, then--in the tech.net and freebsd systems, you
- 18 saw programs that then used that computer?
- 19 A Yes. The name was referenced in those scripts.
- 20 Q How about this 195.128.157.67?
- 21 A I believe that's the tech.net.ru computer.
- 22 Q All right. Let's turn to scripts that relate to E-bay.
- 23 And if I could have you now switch over to the freebsd system
- 24 and Exhibit 216. Have you got 216 there?
- 25 A Yes, I do.

1213

Attfield-Direct (Continued)

- 1 Q What is this?
- 2 A This is a listing of the contents of the E-bay directory of
- 3 the kvakin account on the freebsd computer.
- 4 Q Now, we've got quite a few files on here. There are three
- files that are actually scripts or programs. Is that right?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 A There's solded, randinfo.pl, func.pl. Those are the most
- 8 interesting.
- 9 Q Those are the primary scripts?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Do some of these other files relate to input and output for
- 12 those?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q What's the primary script here? What's the one that is
- 15 sort of the main program?
- 16 A The top level is solded.
- 17 Q Let's take a look at that. Exhibit 219. This is another
- 18 PERL script?
- 19 A Yes, it is.
- 20 Q Okay. Again, I don't want to go into great detail of all
- 21 the specific parts of this. How long is this?
- 22 A This is quite a lengthy script. This one is 22 pages. And
- 23 it's actually--the main level alone is 22 pages, but the whole
- 24 composite is quite a bit larger.
- 25 Q Okay. Now, in a moment I want to turn to a portion of this

- that actually sort of lays out what the script does. But before I do that, I notice at the bottom of the screen here we have something that says: Require/func.pl and require/randinfo/pl. What does that mean?
- A That's the way that solded tells PERL that it needs to have the functions or the--part of the program available in func.pl made available for its use. It's basically saying: I need stuff in another file. Please give it to me. So it's asking for it.
- 10 Q If we could go down a little further. We have something 11 here that says REDIR-HOST and PROXY.
- 12 A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- 13 Q What is that?
- A What it's doing is assigning the--it's assigning a value to a couple of variables. It will use www.epsa.org as a redirect host. And it's assigning the value PROXY of REDIR-HOST at 17492, which is a high port. The script is basically saying it wants to communicate with some remote machine, but it's going to go through another machine in the middle so the traffic will
- 20 appear to have come from somewhere else, not where the script
- 21 was actually run.
- Q So this machine is a compromised machine?
- 23 A In all likelihood, yes.
- Q Let's turn to page 15 of this program. Is there a--as I mentioned, sort of a summary of what this does? If we could

- scroll down to the lower half of this, starting where it says: 2 Commands.
- 3 Yes. What it's doing is showing -- this would display the commands available for this script if you ran it. So, for example, nua means create random users. 5
- 6 And what does the script actually do? What does that mean: Create random users?
 - It's actually creating random user accounts. So it's essentially establishing identities and doing them at random.
- Okay. What else does this program do? 10
- It's capable of building auctions and manipulating auctions 11
- 12 at E-Bay.

8

9

- 13 So when it indicates -- so here it indicates EY registration,
- does that command actually register?
- Yes. That pertains to registration at E-bay. 15 A
- How about this portion here that says: add cc? 16
- 17 Add cc allows it to associate a randomly chosen credit card
- number with one of its randomly created identities.
- Randomly chosen credit card from where? 19 O
- There is a database. One of the tables in mm or mm1 is 20
- called credit cards. And it chooses credit card and
- information relating to the card number out of the database at
- random. 23

25

And then what does it do with that randomly chosen credit 24 card?

- Α It then associates that with a user, with a randomly 2 generated user.
 - We also have down here several commands or functions that relate to auctions.
- 5 Yes. Α

1

7

- What do those do? 6
- Those are capable of manipulating the auctions at E-Bay. Α 8 For example, overbid. It's capable of going in and reviewing an auction and adjusting its own bids, bids it has control
- 10 over.
- 11 Q What would be the purpose for that?
- 12 A Drive the price up.
- 13 Does it allow you to control the bidding? In other words,
- to somehow ensure that you're going to be the winner? 14
- 15 If you're able to track the auction and you know who
- all the participants are, if you have control over five 16
- identities and a sixth one appears, then you're able to take 17
- one of your five identities and make sure that the sixth is
- 19 always outbid.
- And as part of this lengthy script and its creation of 20
- users, is it creating people who can both buy and sell? 21
- Yes, it is. Those are both contained within the seller's 22
- table of the database.
- Let's take a look at those two files that the solded script 24
- uses, the func.pl and randinfo. Let's start with the 217. 25

- A The first portion of that are names that were formed by choosing a random first name and a random last name and truncating them and putting an underscore in the middle. So OSC is the beginning of a name, perhaps Oscar. BL could be something like Black. It's combined the two together to form osc_bl and then apanned appended a random number, in this case 217. So it created the e-mail number osc_bl217 at insurer.com.
- Q So this pattern exactly reflects that script and how it generates random names?
- 10 A Yes, it does.
- 11 Q And it's done this on both ends of the auction, the seller 12 and buyer?
- 13 A Yes.

1

2

31

6

8

- Q Let me have you turn to Exhibit 222, also in that kvakin account. This file is entitled feedbacks.
- 16 A That's correct.

business.

- Q What does feedback mean in the context of an E-bay auction?
- A In an E-bay auction, buyers and sellers have a rating
 mechanism for each other where they submit feedback regarding
 their business experience. And you basically give negative or
 positive feedback on the basis of your satisfaction with the
 transaction or with the person with whom you're doing
- Q Okay. Now, this file is yet another long file, is it not?

- I A Yes, it is.
- 2 Q Couple hundred pages?
- 3 A Uh-huh.
- Q And is it safe to describe it as a list of different types
- 5 of feedback?
- 6 A Yes. It's actually a dump of a table in a database that
- 7 contains feedback.
- 8 Q When you say a dump of a table in a database, what do you
- 9 mean by that?
- 10 A Normally, you can't read the context of a database written
- on a page like this. It's encoded in a form that the database
- 12 software understands. But by dumping it out, you can dump it
- into a form that's readable. And then you can also manipulate
- 14 it with other tools--for example, a text editor--and reimport
- 15 it.
- 16 Q So this is actually just a portion of the database?
- 17 A This is the--this is one part of the database. There's
- 18 much more to it than this.
- 19 Q Okay. And this indicates that the database on the system
- 20 is fuckebay?
- 21 A That's the name.
- 22 Q And could we skip down to where the list of actual
- 23 feedbacks begins? You may need to back out just a little to be
- 24 able to read those and shift over.
- So when we see, for example, this kind of language--very

- satisfied, thank you, or smooth transaction, good

 communication, excellent E-bayer, A-plus-plus-plus-these are

 feedbacks that could be sent back to E-bay? Is that right?
 - A Yes. That's correct.
- Q And then that would--what result would that have for the credibility of sellers on the auction?
 - A If you did--if something like this were used, you could completely skew the rating mechanism in your favor. So in other words, somebody who is--you wouldn't want to do business with, if--you could use this to skew the rating system.
- 11 Q And these 200-and-some pages are essentially variations on 12 good transaction, good person to deal with?
- 13 A They're all very positive.
- 14 Q That type of thing.
- 15 A Yeah.

7

81

9

- 16 Q Did you find the database that's referred to here on the 17 system?
- 18 A In the original form we know of its existence, but we don't 19 have the database itself.
- 20 Q How is it that you know of its existence?
- 21 A Through reference in the download logs, you can see where 22 the configuration files in the areas were that were used by the 23 my.sql database. At the time of the download, we don't know it 24 existed because we don't know it was there. And we also didn't 25 have the permissions required to download the database.

- But in this case, it just so happened in kvakın's account 1 2 there was the dump, as you described it, of a portion of the 3 database?
 - That's correct.
- Do we know what else was in the database? 5
- Again, tables of credit card information, random credit 6 cards complete with names, addresses, expiration dates, tables 8 of first and last names, tables of e-mail identities that were used in a variety of situations. 9
- Now, all of this relates to those three main E-bay scripts 10
- you talked about. Right? The solded, the func and the 11
- randinfo? 12

4

- 13 Α Yes.
- Were those scripts also found in kvakin's account on the 14 other computer, the tech.net.ru computer?
- Yes, they were. They were also found in an E-bay 16
- directory. However, the files had much earlier time stamps and 17
- 18 were much smaller. And reviewing the solded script, it also
- had less functionality. 19
- So this indicates an evolution in the development of the 20 21 script.
- 22 Now I'd like to turn to a different category of scripts, scripts that relate to PayPal.
- How does PayPal work with E-bay? What's the relationship? 24
- PayPal is a mechanism that allows a payment to take place. 25

Say, for example, I put an item up for auction and several people bid on it--

MR. APGOOD: Objection, Your Honor. How is this witness qualified to discuss how PayPal works?

THE COURT: Overruled. Counsel, one lawyer, one witness. Mr. Kanev made objections earlier.

MR. KANEV: I'm sorry, Your Honor. That was a general objection. Mr. Apgood--

THE COURT: Nobody should be making objections as to any witness except the lawyer who will cross-examine the witness.

MR. KANEV: Thank you, Your Honor.

- 13 Q (BY MR. SHORT) The relationship between PayPal and E-Bay.
- 14 A Right. Basically, at the outcome of an auction you need a
- 15 mechanism to transfer the payment from the buyer to the
- 16 seller. And PayPal is a mechanism that can employ bank
- 17 accounts or credit cards to cause a transaction like this to
- 18 take place.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

- 19 Q And can I have you take a look now at Exhibit 121.
- Mr. Attfield, is this an exhibit that was in the kvakin account on tech.net.ru?
- 22 A Yes, it was.
- 23 Q And it's called gethttps.
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q Essentially, what does this script do?

긔

2

3

8

Attfield-Direct (Continued)

- A This script is capable of creating accounts at PayPal and managing them, and also assigning credit card information to them and triggering transactions.
- Q Now, do we have a similar--at the beginning here, does it identify the database that's being used?
- A Yes. It's working this time in the mm database. And again, we have root and the password.
 - Q And that's the same mm database you referred to earlier?
- 9 A If they were on the same machine, it would be the same 10 database. Yes.
- 11 Q Now, if we could go to the bottom of that page. Now, what
 12 does this portion of the script do?
- A Right at the very bottom, it obtains a random e-mail
 address, and it retains random credit card info that locates a

 full name for the credit card. And it does a sign-in to attach
 the credit card to the e-mail address.
- Q Okay. So is it going into the database to get that random e-mail name and random credit card information?
- A Yes. Those are being like pulled from a hat in the database, if you want to call it, to pick one at random. And then it's using those in the credit card function.
- Q On the portion that if signed in, it's actually signed in to PayPal and creates an account?
- A It will be connecting to the machine as if a normal user did that with a web browser.

Attfield-Direct (Resumed)

- Q All right. In connection with the Memphis computer, you also mentioned that it was used to send large quantities of e-mail.
 - A That's correct.

- Q And I think you mentioned the name Greg Stivenson involved in that?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q Have you seen any similar e-mails in the data that you've 9 reviewed on the two Russian computers that relate to the same 10 type of solicitation?
- 11 A Yes, I have.
- 12 Q What have you found?
- 13 A On freebsd.tech.net.ru, there was the 111.dbf and 111.dbt
- 14 files that contained similar solicitations for parts. And
- there were other messages that mentioned the name greg_stiv at
- 16 a variety of domain names. As an example, in the emails.my
- 17 file.
- 18 Q Let's take a look at that 111 file you mentioned,
- 19 Exhibit 261. Does this reflect the type of e-mail relating to
- 20 the sale of computer parts you were referring to?
- 21 A Yes, it does.
- 22 Q And this file--again, this is a long one, isn't it?
- 23 A Certainly is.
- 24 Q Couple hundred pages?
- 25 A Yes, several hundred.

Attfield-Direct (Resumed)

- Q Are these all e-mails essentially listed one after the other?
 - A Yes. The contents of that file.
- Q Let's take a look at the first one here. We have the indication about a quarter of the way down: Original Message.
- 6 And if we could scroll up, just so we can see that message.
- 7 The other direction. There we go.
 - So this message is coming from--
 - A The identity that sent it was Murat Nasirov at Yahoo.com.
- 10 Q And in the text it indicates: We're a firm in Khazakstan,
- 11 and we want to buy processors.
- 12 A That's correct.

3

8

- 13 Q And this one is signed: Murat Nasirov, executive manager.
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 Q And if you go throughout these e-mails, are there
- lo variations of that name as part of the e-mail address?
- 17 A Yes, there are.
- 18 Q And you mentioned that you had seen the Greg Stivenson
- 19 ones. Are they of a similar character involving the sale of
- 20 computer parts to a firm in Khazakstan?
- 21 A Yeah. It's a similar type of request.
- 22 Q Can I have you now look at Exhibit 259, which I believe is
- 23 the other file you mentioned, the emails.my. What type of file
- 24 is that?
- 25 A This is--it's a plain text file that contains a list of

- e-mail addresses, e-mail identities.
- Q Can I scroll just a bit on those? Are these essentially
- 3 just variations on that Murat Nasirov name?
- A Yes. Because each name has to be unique for a given
- 5 domain. You would just--by creating a variant, you can have
- multiples provided at the same e-mail provider.
- 7 O And the domain name here is Yahoo.com?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q Do we also see that password we saw before in some of those
- 10 scripts in the kvakin account?
- 11 A Yes.

2

- 12 Q 01w2e3r4?
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 Q Does there appear to be some relationship between this file
- 15 and the one we just looked at?
- 16 A The addresses are similar.
- 17 Q On this Memphis computer, you also mentioned it was used as
- 18 a relay or a proxy.
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Did you find evidence of specific computers being used as a
- 21 proxy, including Memphis?
- 22 A Yes, I did.
- 23 Q May I ask you to look at Exhibit 136. Okay. This file, is
- 24 this from the kvakin account again?
- 25 A I believe so. I'm just going to flip back to the

- I directory. Yes, it is.
- 2 Q Okay. And if we could scroll it just a bit. This is
- 3 another PERL script, is it?
- A Yes, it is.
- 5 Q Does this indicate a proxy that's being used as part of
- 6 this script?
- 7 A Yes, it does.
- 8 Q And what is it?
- 9 A Memphis.kl2.mi.us.
- 10 Q What does this script do using that proxy?
- 11 A It establishes a connection to a remote host using that as
- 12 its intermediate.
- 13 Q So if you're on that remote computer and traffic comes
- 14 through this, where does it appear it's coming from?
- 15 A It will appear to have come from memphis.k12.mi.us.
- 16 Q If you could flip in the same notebook there to 157. This
- 17 file, squid.conf, what does that mean?
- 18 A Squid is a--again, another software package. And Squid
- 19 offers a cacheing or proxying mechanism for connecting to web
- 20 sites. This is a configuration file for it.
- 21 Q This file will actually indicate the computers to be used
- 22 as proxies?
- 23 A Yes. Or addition of computers to be used.
- 24 Q Can we turn to page 3 of that exhibit about halfway down?
- 25 Does that indicate the computers that are being used as proxies

- by this file?
- 2 A It indicates the use of computers as cache peers.
- 3 Basically, their IP identities and the port numbers.
- 4 Q So that indicates Memphis is one of them?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q And these other computers like merisel.uct.ru?
- 7 A Merisel has appeared earlier in the material we've
- 8 reviewed.
- 9 Q As something that was used as a proxy?
- 10 A As a likely compromised system.
- 11 Q And then the Musashi computer, the Japanese computer you
- 12 referred to earlier, did you also find evidence of that being
- 13 used as a proxy?
- 14 A Yes. That was referenced in several of the PERL scripts.
- 15 Q If I could ask you to look at Exhibit 133. What is this?
- 16 A This establishes a connection to a web site through an
- 17 intermediate and requests a page.
- 18 Q And what is the intermediate?
- 19 A The intermediate in this case is:
- 20 pony.cms.ie.musashi-tech.ac.jp.
- 21 Q That's indicated there?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q Does this number at the end--does that indicate a
- 24 particular port on that machine?
- 25 A Yes. That was the same port we found in the configuration

files.

1

2

- Q Is there some other evidence or additional evidence in kvakin's account as to how all of these different proxy computers might be kept track of or maintained?
- There is a script in the home directory on tech.net.ru

 called add_proxy, which would be used to add the name and

 address, port number, of a computer to a database of computers

 available for that function.
- 9 Q Can I ask you to look at Exhibit 114? Should be in 10 Volume 4.
- Now, you indicated that this file was located in the home directory?
- 13 A Yes. That's correct.
- 14 Q Do you mean /home/kvakin?
- 15 A /home/kvakin.
- 16 Q And it's called add_proxy.
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q So what does this program do?
- 19 A This little--this script connects to the mm database and
- 20 inserts a record into the database where you say--it says:
- 21 Insert into proxies, that's referring to the table, host, aim.
- 22 It's substituting values that were passed in on the command
- 23 line to the script.
- 24 Q So this is the same mm database you've testified about
- 25 before?

- 1 A Yes, or another instance of it.
- 2 Q And is this a way, then, that you can simply type in the
- 3 compromised system and it will add on to your database?
 - A Yes.
- 5 Q I'd like now to turn to another computer system of Nara
- 6 Bank called hankook. Did you review data from that server?
- 7 A Yes, I did.
- Q And did you work with Norm Sanders in getting access to
- 9 that data?
- 10 A Yes, I did.
- 11 Q What were you able to determine about the Nara Bank
- 12 server?
- 13 A The Nara computer had been compromised. We were able to
- determine computers that had been in communications with it and
- changes that had been made to the web site that was served at
- 16 that computer.
- 17 Q What type of changes to the web site?
- 18 A There was a page that had been added to the web site that
- 19 was not put there--was not put there by the people that managed
- 20 the site.
- 21 Q Do you remember the name of that?
- 22 A I believe it was paypal.asp.
- 23 Q Can I ask you to look at Exhibit 451? Is this the file
- 24 paypal.asp that you referred to?
- 25 A Yes, it is.

1289

Attfield-Direct (Resumed)

- 1 hankook server.
- 2 MR. APGOOD: No objection.
- 3 THE COURT: It will be admitted.
 - Q (BY MR. SHORT) Mr. Attfield, this is the list?
- 5 A Yes, it is.
- 6 Q From six computers, we had access to that paypal.asp file?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q And you've already identified the first two there as
- 9 Musashi--
- 10 A I recognize the school at the bottom. I believe that's
- 11 63.70.
- 12 Q And that was Musashi?
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 0 And tech.net?
- 15 A Is 157.67.
- 16 Q Do you recognize any of these others?
- 17 A I think one of them is surnet, if I'm not mistaken. I
- would need to look at the directory to verify.
- 19 Q Are these IP numbers that are all reflected in that
- 20 exhibit, 15, the directory that shows IP numbers and domain
- 21 names that's been admitted?
- 22 A Yes, they are.
- 23 Q All right. The last topic I'd like to ask you about is
- 24 credit cards.
- Did you do a search of all of the data from tech.net.ru and

- freebsd.tech.net.ru to try to determine how many unique credit cards were located?
- 3 A Yes, I did.

2

5

7

8

9

- Q How did you do the search?
 - A I constructed a script that went through and looked for patterns of numbers that met the criteria for appearing to be a credit card. For example, a Visa card has a 16-digit number that begins with 4. The first four digits correspond to an issuer. That's known as the BIN number, the bank ID number.
- 10 So searched through and extracted those numbers out.
- 11 Q Okay. And you're aware of these patterns of credit cards,
- 12 based on personal experience?
- 13 A I have previous experience working for a company where we
- 14 had a web site and had to deal with credit card transaction
- 15 processing.
- 16 Q Now, the number that you came up with, would that not count
- credit cards that were, for example, in some of the databases
- 18 you've been testifying about?
- 19 A The databasees may very well have been larger, but we don't
- 20 have them.
- 21 Q So based on the data that you did have in tech.net.ru and
- 22 freebsd, how many unique credit cards did you find?
- 23 A I think the number was around 56,000.
- MR. SHORT: No further questions for Mr. Attfield.
- THE COURT: You folks can stretch, if you'd like.

EXHIBIT E

MALLON:

Yeah.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4 ,On 11/10/2000 ,Page 113
GORSHKOV:	(In Russian) (Unintelligible) at home?
	(Unintelligible).
	(Unintelligible). Whatever(unintelligible).
	(Simultaneous conversations)
CW:	Oh the, the network (unintelligible).
	(Simultaneous conversations)
GORSHKOV:	Whatever is set (unintelligible).
IVANOV:	(Unintelligible) address(unintelligible).
CW:	Oh the, the network (unintelligible).
	(Simultaneous conversations)
MALLON:	Yeah.
IVANOV:	(Unintelligible). Let's, let's (simultaneous
	conversations).
LEETH:	Is there others that, I mean it doesn't necessarily
	have to be that one. It could be something you
	guys have done in the past. I mean if you wanna go
	back to a, a system.
GORSHKOV:	You know in Russia, we can, ah, broke or hack into
	a system, but when we're here, we don't want to
	(unintelligible)
MALLON:	(Unintelligible).
LEETH:	(Unintelligible).

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible) an address, an address, so (Simultaneous conversations) (Unintelligible).	
LEETH:	Because I, again that's what I keep talkin' about. That's what we're gonna be doin'. That's our business. We want it where we can, ah, penetrate, find it and we'll (unintelligible).	
GORSHKOV:		
CW:	Oh?	
GORSHKOV:	You, ah, sometimes it's hard to explain, but one of third systems on Windows NTeasy to hack in.	
CW:	One-third?	
GORSHKOV:	We have, we did some (unintelligible) scanners.	
LEETH:	Well, what, have you guys made any other	
	(unintelligible) any other intrusions other than, I	
	mean Alexey was telling me about Lightrealm, the	
	job you did there. Have you done any more?	
	(In Russian) (Unintelligible).	
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible).	
IVANOV:	Yeah.	

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	,On 11/10/2000 ,Page 115
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible)	. You know, just, if you want I
	can show you a f	ew, a few NT hacks. Just to show.
	It's not (uninte	lligible).
LEETH:	No, but I mean h	ave you, have you been able to,
	other than Light	, Alexey said Lightrealm was one
	company that you	(unintelligible).
GORSHKOV:	Lightrealm is a	big company. It's uh, and the,
	they used.	
LEETH:	Have you done an	y more type companies like that?
GORSHKOV:	Ah, do you remem	ber the company that is called
	webcom com, webc	om com?
LEETH:	Webcom?	
GORSHKOV:	Yes. It was, ah	, last (unintelligible) company.
	They have about,	ah, ten, maybe twenty thousand
	users (unintelli	gible).
LEETH:	Oh.	
GORSHKOV:	Ah, I can show y	ou. (Unintelligible). Especially,
	for example	
	(Brief pause.)	
LEETH:	Yeah. You know	where the bathroom is right?
	(Unintelligible)	•
	(Brief pause.)	
	(Unintelligible)	•

LEETH:

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000 , Page 116
CW:	Don't like the	stick?
GORSHKOV:	I don't use.	
	(Laughter)	
GORSHKOV:	this kind of st	ick. I like this kind.
CW:	Oh yeah, yeah.	Yeah.
LEETH:	What does this	do, Vasiliy? What did you just, is
	it a P.C. also?	I mean is it your
GORSHKOV:	Ah	
LEETH:	do you actua	11y
GORSHKOV:	I want to buy a	network card for it and use it from
CW:	(Unintelligible).
LEETH:	Oh really? Oka	у.
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible)
LEETH:	It's not too sm	all?
GORSHKOV:	Functional as P	.C.?
LEETH:	Yeah.	
MALLON:	(Unintelligible) P.C
CW:	Uh hum.	
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible).
	(Brief pause.)	
GORSHKOV:	It was a huge,	I don't know, company but now they,
	I don't know wh	ere he is.

Oh yeah he, he went to the bathroom.

Case 2:00-cr-00550-JCC Document 163 Filed 09/30/02 Page 121 of 172

FD-302a (Rev 10-6-95)

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #	‡4		 	On 11/1	0/2000	, Page	117

FD-302a (Rev 10-6-95)

288A-SE-84302-ELIB

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape	#4	.On 11/10/2000	, Page _	118
TAPE	£ #6				
GORSHKOV:	:	Ah, how to say it in English?	?		
MALLON:		Large thing? ,			
GORSHKOV:	:	No, ah, now (unintelligible)	com on		
		(unintelligible) when you type	pe web com com,	you	go,
		you go to Verio (unintelligib	ole).		
CW:		Oh, they bought'em out?			
GORSHKOV	:	They bought'em.			
CW:		Okay. That's a redirect on	the web page.		
MALLON:		Oh, okay.			
GORSHKOV	:	And, ah, they (unintelligible	e) their own		
		(unintelligible) language.			
CW:		Their own programming language	ge?		

CW: Oh, okay.

Oh, okay. And you found the hole?

GORSHKOV: (Unintelligible).

MALLON: Uh hum.

GORSHKOV: Right now, they don't accept new users.

GORSHKOV: No, ah, yes. So, and there was a big hole.

MALLON: They don't accept new users?

GORSHKOV: Because of that (unintelligible) Verio

(unintelligible).

CW: Verio.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000 Page 119
GORSHKOV:	Yes.	
MALLON:	(Unintelligi	ble) Verio (unintelligible).
GORSHKOV:	And Verio go	t it (unintelligible) and they a few
	months ago,	they got (unintelligible) all account
	information	was accessible to anyone.
MALLON:	Wow.	
GORSHKOV:	All new acco	unt information, all new, ah, including
	passwords	
MALLON:	Wow.	
GORSHKOV:	ah, passw	ords to cyberpage.
CW:	And you foun	d that hole?
GORSHKOV:	And, ah, it	was in the tempe (phonetic) directory.
CW:	Oh, the temp	directory. Okay. And you guys found
	this?	
GORSHKOV:	Yes.	
CW:	Oh cool.	
GORSHKOV:	Well it was	easy actually 'cause
LEETH:	Well did you	, did you guys contact them and see if
	they were in	terested
GORSHKOV:	No because,	ah, when we found it we just, what can
	do, and (uni	ntelligible) and they, they, ah, watch
	it, they, th	ey watch our activities and close this

hole.

Continuation of FD-302 ofTa	pe #4
LEETH:	Oh they did? Well, have you guys been able to find
	holes in a company, and this is what we're
	interested in.
GORSHKOV:	Yeah.
LEETH:	Finding holes in a company, uh, and then, you know,
	a week after (unintelligible)
GORSHKOV:	We can try. We can't, ah, we can't, ah, promise
	we, we'll find something. But who knows?
LEETH:	Have you done any in the past though other than
	what Alexey said
GORSHKOV:	Yes. Well, uh, with webcom I worked, and it was,
	it wasn't, um, some sort of, ah, accident,
	accident.
MALLON:	You were in their system a little bit and then they
	closed you out.
GORSHKOV:	Ah
MALLON:	They closed, Verio closed you out after you found
	this temp?
GORSHKOV:	I don't think so. I assume because the com script
	language was poor when (unintelligible).
MALLON:	(Unintelligible) it was bad.
GORSHKOV:	Not, ah, convenient to user. And they beginning
	lose users and they have to sell.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000, Page 121
CW:	Oh, okay.	
LEETH:	Um have you been	n able to get any business though
	out of, out of	companies as far as providing these
	holes? Showing	them the holes? Can you, I mean
	how much are the	ey paying you?
GORSHKOV:	You know, when	you in Russia and when you try to
	contact some some	rt of company.
LEETH:	Uh huh.	
GORSHKOV:	Ah, big companie	es.
LEETH:	Right.	
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible)) usually they got a lot of
	programmers. Yo	ou even, ah, usually you can't even
	explain to anybo	ody what happens. If you got a man
	that understands	s you
LEETH:	Uh huh. He can	•••
GORSHKOV:	That man, very	fast, will close this hole and will
	say to manager t	that he find the hole.
LEETH:	Uh huh.	
	(Simultaneous co	onversations)
GORSHKOV:	And, and it happ	pens you cannot (unintelligible)
LEETH:	Take credit for	it? He'll take credit for it?
GORSHKOV:	Yes.	
LEETH:	Mmm.	

Continuation of FD-302 of Tape	#4 ,On 11/10/2000 ,Page 122
GORSHKOV:	Especially when, ah, they will know that you're in
	Russia and
LEETH:	What about, what about here in the U.S. though?.
GORSHKOV:	If, let's say, he (unintelligible) FBI
	(unintelligible) FBI.
	(In Russian)
IVANOV:	(In Russian) But the fact is
LEETH:	I heard F.B.I. (Laughs)
IVANOV:	But the fact is that if, let's say (in Russian)
	(unintelligible) FBI (in Russian) (unintelligible).
GORSHKOV:	We don't think about the FBI at all. Because they
	can't get us in Russia.
LEETH:	Right.
GORSHKOV:	Your guys don't work in Russia.
LEETH:	Absolutely.
GORSHKOV:	Maybe they work, but they can't just can't come and
	say
LEETH:	Right, right.
GORSHKOV:	"Let's go."
LEETH:	What's your equivalent of the F.B.I. called?
GORSHKOV:	Ah, F.S.B.
COONEY:	F.S
LEETH:	F.S.B.

Continuation of FD-302 of Tape	#4, _{On} <u>11/10/2000</u> , _{Page} <u>123</u>
	(Unintelligible).
GORSHKOV:	But they, they didn't have a good specialist. And
	their principle form is different from the FBI.
LEETH:	Well since you're in Russia though, can you get
	companies in the United States?
IVANOV:	Sure, yes.
GORSHKOV:	Yes.
LEETH:	You can?
GORSHKOV:	Yes.
LEETH:	See, that's maybe something that we, see, because
	we're talkin' about the same thing. We're here in
	the U.S., but if we can get you guys from Russia to
	get into American companies
GORSHKOV:	Ah, the fact is that, ah, programmers don't
	provide, ah, detailed information about them.
	Without it, they will not believe you. Ah, their
	system is, ah, (unintelligible).
CW:	Can you take information and show it to them?
GORSHKOV:	Ah, we tried but they, they, they have lost every,
	everything (unintelligible) beginning
	(unintelligible). When they found, they close, and
	give nothing to us. It is better just gather

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4,On
	information about it, when you find something big,
	ah bigger.
LEETH:	Well how do you, how do you, I mean I'm asking you
	this as a businessman. How do you contact a
	company when you find a hole?
GORSHKOV:	Call.
LEETH:	Call?
GORSHKOV:	Call. Ah, (unintelligible)
IVANOV:	(Unintelligible) and we try to first of all E-mail.
LEETH:	Uh huh.
: VONAVI	But usually it's not work because, ah, the system
	administrators, they not want, they hold.
GORSHKOV:	(Simultaneous conversations)
LEETH:	I hear you.
IVANOV:	(Unintelligible) they call to managers.
LEETH:	Right, right.
IVANOV:	Because
LEETH:	Well, can you call management?
IVANOV:	Ah, I try call managers (unintelligible).
GORSHKOV:	They say that our administration, our, is the best
	in the world and
IVANOV:	Yes, and, ah
GORSHKOV:	you can't hack it.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000, Page 125
	(Laughter)	
	(Simultaneou	s conversations)
GORSHKOV:	They have ad	ministration. You can contact about,
	they say no.	And begin such call
MALLON:	Hmm.	
GORSHKOV:	And when, of	course is, ah, lost, you can
LEETH:	Did they, is	that what happened with Lightrealm?
IVANOV:	And usually	the administration (unintelligible)
GORSHKOV:	Lightrealm?	Ah
LEETH:	'Cause I kno	ow they got hacked. That's why I'm
	asking.	
GORSHKOV:	Because ah t	they (unintelligible). (Laughs)
	Actually, yo	ou can ask about Lightrealm Alexey. It
	his work.	
LEETH:	But it is	
GORSHKOV:	He did it al	one.
LEETH:	He did it?	Okay. That's what we're tryin' to do
	here. But w	we can't do it because of the F.B.I. Do
	you understa	and? (Unintelligible)
GORSHKOV:	He (unintel	ligible).
LEETH:	(Unintelligi	ble) you're in Russia
GORSHKOV:	Actually, we	e can do it because we can do, ah, such
	with, ah, al	ll will be invisible or will, or will be

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000, Page 126
	visible, but,	ah, another (unintelligible) where
	we	
LEETH:	Well, maybe if	we could, ah, we could have you guys
	hack a place f	for us. You're over there, we're
	here. All rig	ght? We go to them and provide the
	service. We m	reach out and we pay you guys, you
	know, per hack	or however you wanna do it.
GORSHKOV:	It will be ser	sible but the fact, ah,
	(unintelligib)	.e)
LEETH:	Well, let me a	sk you what, what did Lightrealm pay?
	What, what did	they pay you for that?
GORSHKOV:	Ah, you see it	's not a, a question of money. They
	pay only becau	use right now they are friends and
	they didn't pa	y a price. Alexey found a hole.
LEETH:	Oh they didn't	:?
GORSHKOV:	The price of t	this hole is very big, but they pay,
	you know. (In	Russian) I don't know how to say it.
COONEY:	(Unintelligibl	e) they may have just
	(unintelligibl	.e) .
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligibl	.e).
LEETH:	They paid penn	niesbut why?
	(Simultaneous	conversations)
IVANOV:	was about e	eighteen dollars ah per month.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000 Page 127
LEETH:	Eighteen dollars a	month?
GORSHKOV:	Well that's why we	do it and Lightrealm was a huge
	company.	
LEETH:	Right. ,	
GORSHKOV:	So they can pay re	al price, but they didn't pay
	what even asked ab	out small company. They can pay
	too but they never	pay.
Leeth:	What about any oth	er places in the U.S. Have you
	had any luck going	, going to them?
GORSHKOV:	Actually, ah, we s	topped trying to contact with
	these people. Bec	ause we have some money. We did
	not (unintelligibl	e)
	(In Russian) (Unin	telligible) did not especially
	need (unintelligib	ole).
COONEY:	Ah they weren't in	a hurry for money particularly
	so (unintelligible	.) .
	(Simultaneous conv	rersations)
IVANOV:	(Unintelligible).	
GORSHKOV:	(In Russian) And t	hese negotiations were just empty
	chat.	
COONEY:	Ah, he said these	negotiations were just a waste of
	time.	
LEETH:	Oh, really?	

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4 , On 11/10/2000 , Page 128
COONEY:	(Unintelligible).
LEETH:	They didn't wanna pay it? Oh. Because there's
	been in the last six months maybe a year there have
	been several hacks into companies here in the U.S.
	And I'm hearing that it, well some of the hacks
	have come from Russia. But some of the companies
	have paid. And that's what I want, that's what I
	wanna get into.
GORSHKOV:	No, we don't, ah, we know, ah, some people that
	work for it. They ask for companies, but it's true
	it's very very difficult. You have to broke
	thousand hosts, but you'll be paid by one, maybe
	two.
LEETH:	Yeah. Yeah.
GORSHKOV:	It's true. It, it's very, you don't have to,
	ah,
IVANOV:	Spend time.
GORSHKOV:	spend your time on hacking. You have to, ah, to
	spend your time on negotiating.
LEETH:	Huh.
GORSHKOV:	The fact ah, ah, we can try it and you'll see.
LEETH:	Okay.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000, Page 129
GORSHKOV:	We can write so	mebody and then you'll see what the,
	we can, ah, crea	ate a folder or create a file that,
	and write them,	you have a faulty security or
	something. But	they say it's, ah, problem you will
	be contacted by	FBI, never ask us about it.
:VONAVI	Uh hum.	
GORSHKOV:	But in several o	days, maybe several months, they pay
	to a huge compar	ny that work on security and they'll
	fix this hole.	
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	But not pay to l	nack it.
LEETH:	Well see, ah, th	nat's what we were trying to do is
	we'll be the sec	curity company (unintelligible)
GORSHKOV:	And we, ah, we t	cried to negotiate, ah, beginning
	with work, we ca	an help you with your security.
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	But (unintellig:	ible), we are from Russia, brought
	hacks with from	Russia. You know Russia has a bad
	reputation about	hacking and computer
	(unintelligible)	•
CW:	And some good re	eputation for being good at it.
GORSHKOV:	But reputation,	and there's no laws that can't,
	hacking can be,	ah

Continuation of FD-302 of Tape	. #4 , On 11/10/2000 . Page 130
LEETH:	Exactly.
GORSHKOV:	And we (unintelligible) so
LEETH:	What about, ah, credit cards? Credit card numbers?
	Anything like that?
GORSHKOV:	What do you (unintelligible)
	(Laughter)
LEETH:	It's always, ah, you know, if you've got access to
	credit card numbers that's, ah
CW:	Companies get really worried about
	(unintelligible)
	(Simultaneous conversations)
GORSHKOV:	Actually, wait a minute, actually, we'll never,
	when we're here, we'll never say that we got access
	to credit card numbers.
	(Laughter)
LEETH:	I understand. I hear ya, I hear ya.
	(Simultaneous conversations)
GORSHKOV:	It's, ah, it's, ah, just security question.
LEETH:	Right.
GORSHKOV:	But when we are in Russia, we can (unintelligible).
LEETH:	But you could, if you were over there you could get
	'em for us? If we, you know, decided at some
	point

Continuation of FD-302 of Tape	#4	, On 11/10/2000 , Page 131
GORSHKOV:	The fact is that, that this	kind of question is
	better discussed in Russia.	
	(Laughter)	
GORSHKOV:	Is true, yes, because now FB	I is (unintelligible)
	stories about it.	
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	(unintelligible).	
LEETH:	It's all overblown. They the	ink they're good.
	They're not. It's a myth.	Don't worry about it.
	I mean (unintelligible)	
	(Simultaneous conversations)	
LEETH:	I'd worry, I'd worry. Lis	sten, and we're in this
	building. I feel comfortable	e in this building
	right? All right.	
GORSHKOV:	Okay	
LEETH:	But, at the same time, you kn	now I know we're in the
	U.S. and I know what you're	saying. All right?
	But I, I	
GORSHKOV:	I have never been in the U.S	. Why do I need some
	problem?	
LEETH:	Yeah. These are	
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible).	

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4 ,On 11/10/2000 ,Page 132
LEETH:	these are things that, that we wanted to talk to
	you guys about okay? About what we can do to help
	you. What you can do to help us. And you guys
	being in Russia, can help us.
GORSHKOV:	The fact is that ah our ah, I don't know how to say
	it's not a company. We've never called our firm
	"company." We call "Kontora".
LEETH:	Office, an office.
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible) You know Russian word "Kontora."
	(Unintelligible).
	(Simultaneous conversations)
	(Russian/Laughter)
COONEY:	Okay, very specifically focused semi-official
	company but not a full blown company. We've never
	(unintelligible).
LEETH:	Well are the fifteen or twenty people that're
	working for you guys are they, are they hacking
	also?
GORSHKOV:	Um, actually, they, not all hackers.
LEETH:	Uh huh.
GORSHKOV:	About, ah, four maybe. All of them can be
	designers, programmers, and so on. About four of

Continuation of FD-302 of Tape #4 , on 11/10/2000 , Page 133

them work only for designing, programming, web

hosting...

LEETH: Right.

GORSHKOV: Others help us to create standard hacking tools...

LEETH: Hacking tools?

GORSHKOV: Yes.

CW: Oh, okay.

GORSHKOV: And they even...

(Simultaneous conversations)

IVANOV: If we can't find some tools, ah, some tool in

internet, we write (unintelligible)...

(Simultaneous conversations)

LEETH: Now did you, did you guys write the tools that you

used to hack into our system?

GORSHKOV: Of course.

(Unintelligible).

LEETH: Okay. All right.

GORSHKOV: Actually, our firm is, initially, it was created as

hackers club.

LEETH: A hacker's club?

CW: Oh.

GORSHKOV: But there was a lot of misunderstanding with that.

And we had to split...

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000, Page 134
IVANOV:	Uh hum	·
GORSHKOV:	som	e of, some of, our club, go, go to nowhere.
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	We kno	w about that and we know, they, ah, work
	variou	s way (unintelligible) American.
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	But we	didn't, ah, stop our club. We growing with
	five p	eople.
LEETH:	Uh hum	·
GORSHKOV:	(Unint	elligible).
LEETH:	How do	you pay them though? How do you pay your
	people	from wwhere is the money coming in? You
	unders	tand?
IVANOV:	If mon	ey is not come in it is our mistake. But
	people	work, and anyways, we pay the people.
LEETH:	But I	mean how do you guys get the money to pay
	them?	
IVANOV:	People	come to us. It is our mistakes that money
	is not	come to us.
LEETH:	What d	o you, what
IVANOV:	But pe	oples
	(Simul	taneous conversations)
LEETH:	Well n	o no no.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000 Page 135
GORSHKOV:	(Unintellig	rible).
COONEY:	Ah yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	Well it, it	's, ah, sort of personal question and
	here in Ame	rica, (laughs) talk about it
	(unintellig	rible).
	(Unintellig	pible).
GORSHKOV:	Don't ah, d	lon't ah (unintelligible).
COONEY:	Don't get o	offended if
LEETH:	No, no, I'm	not.
GORSHKOV:	(Unintellig	rible).
	(Simultaneo	ous conversations)
GORSHKOV:	There's no	question
LEETH:	I'm not, I'	m not asking for any secrets you
	understand.	Okay. But, and I'm not, I'm just
	curious tha	t
GORSHKOV:	I can expla	in, ah, to pay, ah, for, ah, for our
	people	
LEETH:	Right.	
GORSHKOV:	peoples.	Is an enough money, that pays, uh, for

the host and, ah, web creation and so on. But it's

not enough for growing for us for (unintelligible).

Continuation of FD-302 of Tape	#4 ,On 11/10/2000 ,Page 136
LEETH:	Yeah. Well what I'm, what I'm trying to say is
	are the guys hacking, are you getting money that
	way.
GORSHKOV:	No. They never, pay.
LEETH:	They don't, they don't do that.
GORSHKOV:	They, they, didn't pay. They only create tools for
	us.
COONEY:	Aw. So you guys can do it. All right. Okay.
GORSHKOV:	They help us to.
COONEY:	And the, and the money that you get for that you
	pay these guys.
GORSHKOV:	You can say
	(Laughter)
GORSHKOV:	you can say it.
LEETH:	Okay.
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible) not gonna say it.
LEETH:	All right. All right. Understood. Because I mean
	that's, that's what we're trying to do here. You
	understand?
GORSHKOV:	I understand.
LEETH:	I know, I know the companies. I, I can sell, I can
	sell my business.
GORSHKOV:	I know um

FD-302a (Rev 10-6-95)

288A-SE-84302-ELIB

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4
LEETH:	But the technical side, these guys, these guys
	can't do it from here.
GORSHKOV:	I understand.
LEETH:	For, for obvious reasons.
GORSHKOV:	You know when, ah, it's, ah, a question of plan.
	(Unintelligible) here, maybe two, maybe three, and
	in (unintelligible) Russia, you know Putin he's
	K.G.B.
LEETH:	Yeah.
GORSHKOV:	Here right now I, I begin (unintelligible) because
	he begins from Moscow to take such hacker's slots,
	there are a lot of them, and they didn't go to jail
	but they bought, they everybody, um, will be a
	worried about how. You know, just they take
	control of, of it. But we can create same class in
	Kazakhstan (unintelligible). it's, ah, about two
	huntwo hundred kilometers from Chelyabinsk.
LEETH:	Right. Right.
GORSHKOV:	And there are a lot of more corruption.
LEETH:	Yeah, yeah.
GORSHKOV:	And we can pay and create everything there. If
	you've got money. You got everything there.

LEETH: Oh, right. Okay.

Continuation of FD-302 of Ta	npe #4
GORSHKOV:	So only question is, ah, we, you know, can be here
	or, ah, or, ah, we can be in Russia, but we can,
	ah, send some of our people there, and they will be
	hacking from,
LEETH:	Right.
GORSHKOV:	Kazakhstan, ah, Uzbekistan, so on.
LEETH:	Right, right.
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible).
LEETH:	(Unintelligible) fly down, take your P.C.
	(Unintelligible).
GORSHKOV:	They (unintelligible).
LEETH:	Should be easy. Okay. All right. Just so I know
	you guys are as good as you, I think you are. How
	can I ask you this without, I don't want you to
	feel afraid but (unintelligible)
	(Simultaneous conversations)
LEETH:	No, no, no, no, I understand. But I'm trying to
	find a way to see your work. I know of a lot of
	hacks that occurred here in the United States. And
	some of the companies paid out. Paid money.
	Without saying specifics, could that, any of that
	have been you guys?
GORSHKOV:	Ah (unintelligible)

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape	#4	,On 11/10/2000	, Page	139	
				-		
		(Simultaneous conversations)				

GORSHKOV: I can tell you. We, ah, try to, to rake it, you

can say, from, um, companies. A few months ago we

tried, but we found it's not, um, such profitable.

CW: Uh hum.

LEETH: Yeah.

GORSHKOV: It's better to hack, hack, hack, and when you find

something very interesting, all those hacks will be

(unintelligible) and will do only that. But if you

find something very interesting you, you can get

such, ah, so many monies, so you don't need ever

record from company that you hack.

MALLON: (Unintelligible). My dog needs to go for a walk.

So (unintelligible).

LEETH: Huh.

GORSHKOV: So, ah, but anyway we try to create such companies

as security consultant. We hack them, this

security consultant, ah, give a call, ah to

manager or director of the firm, we'll explain that

they will be easy hacked. Let us try.

LEETH: Right.

GORSHKOV: We don't ask you to, ah, pay or some.... Let us

try.

FD-302a (Rev. 10-6-95)

288A-SE-84302-ELIB

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	,On 11/10/2000 ,Page 140
LEETH:	Uh huh.	
GORSHKOV:	already hac	ked company.
LEETH:	Uh huh.	
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligibl	e),.
LEETH:	Right. You've	already hacked it though right?
GORSHKOV:	Yes.	
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	And then we wi	ll show some hole
LEETH:	Uh huh.	
GORSHKOV:	a hole just	to be sure they will pay.
LEETH:	Right.	
GORSHKOV:	But you, ah, w	e didn't begin because of lack of
	time.	
LEETH:	Right.	
GORSHKOV:	We didn't have	enough time. I sleep very, ah, I, I
	didn't, I slee	p about seven years, ah, seven hours
	per day.	
LEETH:	Seven hours pe	r day you said?
GORSHKOV:	Yes.	
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	So I, I, I jus	t, ah, don't have enough time.

Yeah.

LEETH:

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000, Page 141
GORSHKOV:	And, and I don'	t, ah, trusted people to create this
	company to, ah,	to let them create this company.
LEETH:	Uh huh.	
GORSHKOV:	Under my contro	1.
LEETH:	Right. It, it	seems like that this is such a
	growing busines	s so that, that, that
GORSHKOV:	Yes. It's very	, very
	(Simultaneous co	onversations)
LEETH:	companies ar	e willing to pay lots of money to
	avoid getting h	acked for security.
GORSHKOV:	For security.	Right.
LEETH:	And, ah, I know	some, I've been hearing. 'Cause I
	deal with a lot	of security managers. I know some
	companies have p	paid out twenty twenty-five thousand
	dollars. You k	now, to hackers. You know, and
	that's	
GORSHKOV:	Ah, you know, ma	aybe somebody pays, but we never
	(unintelligible)) ah, I know is, is a reasonable
	price.	
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	We, uh, protect	some Russians from a big on-line
	shop, there are	a lot of credit card information.
CW:	Uh hum.	

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	,On 11/10/2000,Page 142
GORSHKOV:	: And, I didn't feel safe, b	out we will, ah, we will
	have some, ah, accessible	real, real big shops that
	(unintelligible) about twe	enty thousand credit cards
	from Russia. ,	
CW:	And they, they need this t	o, to protect
	(unintelligible).	
	(Simultaneous conversation	s)
GORSHKOV:	: To protect them. But is,	ah, so, ah, unsecure.
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	: It is even funny.	
LEETH:	Let me ask you this. Is t	here a market in Russia
	for credit card numbers?	
GORSHKOV:	: Ah, actually, yes, but we	never sell it. And we
	never buy it.	
LEETH:	Okay.	
GORSHKOV:	: We never sell it or buy.	
CW:	Uh hum.	
LEETH:	Right.	
GORSHKOV:	: You can sell, you can buy	it but, you know, a lot
	of peoples, they just take	information and never
	pay and you need to find a	trusted people.
LEETH:	Right. (Unintelligible)	•

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000 , Page 143
GORSHKOV:	But	if you find a trusted people. (Unintelligible)
	I f	ind trusted people, I never give them such
	inf	ormation. I make them work, work for me.
LEETH:	Rig	nt. ,
GORSHKOV:	And	this um, um (unintelligible).
LEETH:	Oka	y. Well that's, that's basically what we're
	loo	ting for from you guys over there is
	ah.	.(simultaneous conversations).
GORSHKOV:	Ιυ	nderstand, but, ah, how it'syou guys here
	don	t quite understand what is happening in Russia.
LEETH:	Uh	nuh.
GORSHKOV:	Вес	ause in Russia there are a lot of, um, a lot of,
	pow	erful, powerful, ah, men of, ah no, ah services,
	not	services, ah
LEETH:	Pow	erful?
GORSHKOV:	Lik	e K.G.B., F.S.B. It is better to (simultaneous
	con	versations).
LEETH:	Oka	r, okay.
GORSHKOV:	(Un	intelligible)
LEETH:	Ove	there, there's a lot of powerful agen
	age	acies?
GORSHKOV:	Age	ncies, yes.
LEETH:	Yea	1.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000 .Page 144
GORSHKOV:	Actually.	
MALLON:	Okay.	
GORSHKOV:	Ah, and if th	ey, they got information, they never,
	ah, pay anybo	dy. But if they get an order from
	hack agency.	
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	They'll take	it and in one, two hours, it, it will
	be, ah, not l	ike in the United States, it will be
	if they'll ta	ke you, it is not a matter you did it
	or you never	did it. They can't prove or they can
	prove. Beca	use if they take you, you'll go to
	jail.	
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	Or you'll wor	k for them.
	(In Russian)	(Unintelligible)
GORSHKOV:	They even wil	l not try to prove if they can prove
	it. They'll	find something that, they can prove.
	(unintelligib	le)
LEETH:	Right, right.	
COONEY:	Right.	
GORSHKOV:	And they will	find some
LEETH:	Well how could	d we communicate, ah, securely with
	you guys in R	ussia? Like if we found, I know that

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	,On 11/10/2000 ,Page 145
	you mentioned t	the C.T.S., I mean you guys still
	have a, an acco	ount with C.T.S.? You still own an
	account?	
GORSHKOV:	Ah, for C.T.S.	all questions to. Yes. The account
	at C.T.S. but.	· •
LEETH:	I mean is it se	ecure? Can we
IVANOV:	I opened an acc	count with stolen credit card, into
	this and to try	to hack it. I'm successful and,
	ah, after this	they, I contact administasah,
	administrators	and, ah, they open regular account
	for me.	
LEETH:	Oh, they did?	Cool.
IVANOV:	And they	•
MALLON:	(Unintelligible	2) .
LEETH:	And they paid	ou?
IVANOV:	Yeah.	
LEETH:	Can we, can we	use that account? I mean to
	communicate?	
GORSHKOV:	I think the bes	st way is communicate is if, ah, if
	we will create	something (unintelligible).
LEETH:	Right.	
GORSHKOV:	Ah, the best wa	y is to live here one month
	(unintelligible	e) I'll go back to Chelyabinsk.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	,Om 11/10/2000 ,Page 146	
LEETH:	Okay, okay.		
GORSHKOV:	And somebody.		
LEETH:	Come out when a	somebody else comes?	
GORSHKOV:	I think Alexey	I think Alexey better anybody else. He'll come,	
	he'll can do so	omething from here.	
LEETH:	Yeah.		
GORSHKOV:	And since it's,	ah, not, ah, dangerous or	
LEETH:	Yeah. What, wh	nat did, what did ah C.T.S. pay you?	
	Was it good?		
GORSHKOV:	No. No.		
IVANOV:	No. Payment is	3	
GORSHKOV:	We never receiv	red good money from hack.	
LEETH:	Really?		
GORSHKOV:	Ah, from, ah, p	people who was, who was hacking.	
IVANOV:	Ah, the last, a	ah, money that was good.	
LEETH:	Uh huh.		
IVANOV:	It, ah, have be	en about ah one or two months ago.	
LEETH:	Yeah.		
IVANOV:	And, ah, it has	s been casino. I'm just	
	(unintelligible	e) how to steal money from, from	
	them. And, ah,	after this, they paid me, and	
	payment (uninte	elligible) for about ah four	

(In Russian)

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4
COONEY:	Thousand.
: VONAVI	Four, four thousand dollars.
LEETH:	Four thousand?
IVANOV:	And, ah, they pay to me every week, ah, in my and
	sent every, every week, ah, one thousand.
LEETH:	Yeah.
IVANOV:	And, ah, because it was, ah, not trust me and, ah,
	they think that I can, ah, do something bad for
	company.
LEETH:	Right.
IVANOV:	And, ah, because this, they sent, ah, packs of
	money to me.
LEETH:	Right, right.
IVANOV:	For trust.
LEETH:	Right.
LEETH:	How do they pay you? Do they wire it, ah, to
	Russia or
IVANOV:	Wire transfer.
LEETH:	To, to Chelyabinsk?
IVANOV:	To Chelyabinsk.
LEETH:	Mmm that's, that's
GORSHKOV	The best way to help, ah, is not to Russia.
LEETH:	No. I was gonna say.

Continuation of FD-302 of	ape #4
IVANOV:	(Unintelligible).
LEETH:	It's usually better to go to a third country. Wire
	it. Yeah. And then wire it again. Yeah, that's
	something you guys mimay wanna think about.
	'Cause if you've got it goin' straight to
	Chelyabinsk, um, somebody could track it goin' out
	of here. Were they sending it from the states
	straight to Chelyabinsk?
GORSHKOV:	No. Ah, I think it must be made such way. Ah, no
	way no pack will be directed to Chelyabinsk or here
	to Seattle.
LEETH:	Right.
GORSHKOV:	It must be in such ways that all monies to some
	off-shore firm.
LEETH:	Yeah it's
GORSHKOV:	It's in, ah
LEETH:	Caribbean.
GORSHKOV:	in, ah, third, ah third countries, like
	Kazakstan, Uzbekistan.
IVANOV:	Kazakstan.
GORSHKOV:	Ah, and so
LEETH:	Well how much would we have to pay officials in
	Kazakstan if we were wiring money back and forth?

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000 Page 149
	Do you thi	nk they would be, you'd have to pay off a
	_	in they would be, you a have to pay our a
	lot?	
IVANOV:	(Unintelli	
GORSHKOV:	Ah, I don'	t know actually but we can find out.
LEETH:	Yeah.	
IVANOV:	(Unintelli	gible).
	(Simultane	ous conversations)
GORSHKOV:	No, there	are a lot of, ah, companies
	(unintelli	gible) of people in Russia that can help
	you to ope	n any off-shore firm or
LEETH:	Yeah. Acc	ounts.
GORSHKOV:	Accounts.	And it was thousand, maybe two
	(unintelli	gible).
	(Simultane	ous conversations)
LEETH:	I know I'v	e asked you this before and I don't mean
	to keep pu	shing you, but I'm trying to get an idea
	of what yo	u're capable of doin'. All right? And I
	know that'	s, I don't wanna ask you.
GORSHKOV:	The fact i	s(unintelligible)
LEETH:	Tryin', tr	yin' to, we say in America establish
	your, your	bona fides (unintelligible). You
	understand	? Your
GORSHKOV:	Yeh, yeh,	I understand.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4 , on 11/10/2000 , Page 150
LEETH:	. And that first hack that you did on our system was
	very good. According to the people that, Michael
	said he was real good. Um, is there any other
	examples you can give me that I can research?
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible). I can, ah, companies like
	Lightrealm (unintelligible).
	(Simultaneous conversations)
GORSHKOV:	But we can do it a thousand per day. We got some
	scanners. We can run it, and we'll get a report on
	companies and it will be so many that
LEETH:	(Unintelligible), okay. You, you set up a scanner
	to run stuff through.
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible) the scanners run and make all
	kinds because all holes that are well known.
LEETH:	Okay.
GORSHKOV:	So, ah, you can, ah, think about what, what is plan
	(unintelligible). But I think is right. Ah, the
	best way is not to try to create a firm if
	interesting yes, but in the (unintelligible) of the
	process you can get nothing, nothing, and you will,
	you will work about it a year or half year.
LEETH:	Yeah.

LEETH:

Okay.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	,On 11/10/2000,Page 151
GORSHKOV:	But you f	ind a hole that is not give you full
	access.	And if you, ah, run a scanner, you'll get
	full acce	ss to a thousand machines, everyday.
LEETH:	Okay.	•
GORSHKOV:	And	
LEETH:	Well, wha	t makes you decide to go after one
	specific	company versus another?
GORSHKOV:	(Unintell	igible)
LEETH:	Once you	get, I mean how much money they've got,
	ah	
GORSHKOV:	No.	
LEETH:	No?	
GORSHKOV:	Actually,	when we'll try (unintelligible) because
	they pay	little money (unintelligible), you just
	take (uni	ntelligible) I don't remember but there
	were ten	of them.
LEETH:	Ten compa	nies that did pay?
GORSHKOV:	No.	
LEETH:	No.	
GORSHKOV:	Nobody di	d pay. We just checked, ah, security
	(unintell	igible).

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape	#4	, On 11/10/2000 , Page 152
GORSHKOV:		And every tenth, maybe tenth,	or maybe fifth
		company of Windows machine wa	as
LEETH:		Hackable (unintelligible).	
		(Simultaneous conversations)	
GORSHKOV:		Hackable. Not hackable, ah,	not hack, ah, not
		hackable. We don't hack any	any (unintelligible).
		We know how, ah, but, ah, we	never did it because
		this, ah	
LEETH:		not worth it	
GORSHKOV:		(unintelligible) no we do	n't get enough time
		(unintelligible).	
LEETH:		Right, right. But I guess my	y question though is
		what, when you, when you get	into a company what
		makes you wanna go to that co	ompany versus all the
		other ones that you've got or	at there that you could
		get into. What makes, you un	nderstand? Is it how
		many accounts they have or he	ow much money?
GORSHKOV:		Ah, the fact is that, ah, we	're, a few days ago, we
		need some ISP's to steal ah,	to hide our host.
LEETH:		You needed what?	
GORSHKOV:		To hide our host, to	
LEETH:		hide your host?	

٠.

Continuation of FD-302 of Tape	#4	On 11/10/2000 Page 153
GORSHKOV:	to, to, ah we need some I	Ps to show, ah, to hack
	some systems that can trace	to our host. We don't
	need some problems with our.	••
LEETH:	Okay.	
CW:	Ah, (unintelligible).	
LEETH:	So you found other IP addres	ses and hosts
GORSHKOV:	IPs, IPs, and we found we ju	st opened Yahoo or Alta
	Vista.	
LEETH:	Alta Vista?	
	(Simultaneous conversations)	
CW:	(Unintelligible) exploits?	
GORSHKOV:	No, we don't touch exploits,	we no exploit. We
	search for companies. We ju	st run someum,
	symbols, and it was random,	and they found, uh,
	Alta Vista found	
LEETH.	Hmm.	
GORSHKOV:	several hundreds of compa	nies with that, this
	work, and we just scanned th	em. And we get fifty
	or twenty accounts and full	accessible machines and
	we'll	
CW:	Use those.	
GORSHKOV	We need SMTP servers to send	e-mail ananymous.
	Just got, uh, words from one	of those machines with

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #	4	, On 11/10/2000 , Page 154
		very many E-mails and takes	from there. Ah,
		addresses, SMTP services, an	d checks them off.
		It's a, it's a question of a	h what do you need? If
		you need (unintelligible), y	ou scan
		(unintelligible). If you ne	ed money, you scan
		banks.	
CW:		Banks?	
LEETH:		Yeah. Oh yeah.	
GORSHKOV:		So	
LEETH:		Have you had any luck with t	hose? Banks?
GORSHKOV:		Banks? Actually, with banks	, there are a lot of
		problems (unintelligible).	Ah, we got some, we
		never, uh get any money from	'em.
LEETH:		Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:		We take some control but you	know with banks, they,
		they make the servers stand	alone, only for
		internet and hope they (unin	telligible).
		(Simultaneous conversations)	
LEETH:		It's off line. Right. It's	an InIntranet.
		(Simultaneous conversations)	
GORSHKOV:		Among banking, there are dif	ferences. They, maybe
		few, I don't know how it wor	k. Maybe they make a
		(unintelligible), but when y	ou come on-line banking

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	.On 11/10/2000, Page 155
	systems, they m	ake their host, ah, on their
	machine, but wh	en you work with account, it's , ah,
	(unintelligible) in one or one, ah, IP or on one,
	ah, net and thi	s net is fully security.
	(Unintelligible) wasting time on it. Just not
	profitable.	
CW:	So you never fo	und any holes on on-line banking?
GORSHKOV:	Ah yes, we foun	d some but we didn't receive
	anything.	
CW:	Oh you didn't t	ell the bank about the holes?
GORSHKOV:	Ah, I tried to	(unintelligible).
:VONAVI	It is very diff	icult to find person, ah, who can
	really help, ah	, security (unintelligible).
	(Phone rings)	
:VONAVI	Because too man	y, too many managers and, ah,
	(unintelligible).
LEETH:	(On telephone)	JohnYeahUh huhYeah
	Right	
IVANOV:	Very difficult.	
MALLON:	(Unintelligible	on-line banking.
IVANOV:	(Unintelligible).
CW:	(Unintelligible) banks would want to close their
	holes 'cause if	their customers find out, they'll

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4
	take their money out of the bank and the bank will
	go out of business.
GORSHKOV:	No, we don't take, ah, (unintelligible) make quick
	money.
LEETH:	Uh huh
GORSHKOV:	With twenty or fifty thousand dollars. We want to
	make (unintelligible).
LEETH:	Okay. All right
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible) so we just gather information. We
	take it
LEETH:	(on telephone) That's it? That's all he can pay?
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible) money for today, for tomorrow.
	You just need, um, (unintelligible) a target to
	work with.
CW:	Right. Well banks are good customers for us
	because, you know, we do a, test their networks
	and
GORSHKOV:	I understand. Yes, but ah, then (unintelligible).
LEETH:	(on telephone)OkayAll rightAll right.
	Just let me knowYeah bye. (End of telephone
	conversation)

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	,On 11/10/2000 ,Page157
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible)	. And you can put there the
	information that	don't, ah, put money in this bank
	on people. You	can do it.
CW:	Right.	1
MALLON:	(Unintelligible)	•
GORSHKOV:	It's easy. It's	easy (unintelligible). Actually,
	there are a lot	of such banks but the real money,
	you	
CW:	I would think th	e banks would be afraid
	(unintelligible)	you doing that.
GORSHKOV:	Maybe they're af	raid, but we never contact with
	them due to lack	of time.
CW:	Oh.	
MALLON:	Are they hiring	more people to work with you in
	Russia?	
GORSHKOV:	You know, ah, th	e human factor is that, uh
	(unintelligible)	•
MALLON:	(Unintelligible)	•••
GORSHKOV:	it is so hard	to find, ah, good people that
	we'll never, ah.	••
MALLON:	Good, good peopl	e you can trust or just.
GORSHKOV:	Ah, trust and wo	rk.
	(Simultaneous co	nversations)

Case 2:00-cr-00550-JCC Document 163 Filed 09/30/02 Page 162 of 172

FD-302a (Rev 10-6-95)

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000 , Page 158
GORSHKOV:	Trust and work.	I can find people I can trust, but
		unintelligible). (Laughs)
MALLON:		company gettin' well known in Russia
	or	1
GORSHKOV:	No.	•
MALLON:	(unintelligi	hlel
	_	
GORSHKOV:		known company like ours
MALLON:	You say (uninte	elligible).
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligi	ble) if you, ah, it, it is to be in
	official capaci	ty, if you, if you will be well
	known as a web	hosting company, or well known as a
	web design comp	any (unintelligible).
MALLON:	You're not know	m as like technet?
GORSHKOV:	But if you well	known as hacker, or
	(unintelligible).
CW:	(Unintelligible	agencies.
GORSHKOV:	You agencies.	
MALLON:	Oh, I gotcha.	But are you, is your company name
	Tech-Net?	
GORSHKOV:	Tech-Net ru (ph	onetic).
MALLON:	Or is that your	, just your web site?

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	,On 11/10/2000 ,Page 159
GORSHKOV:	It's just web s	ite. And our company's called
	-	's just, ah, ah, how do you say
	(unintelligible	•
COONEY:	(In Russian) W	
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible	.) .
COONEY:	_	ah, top of the heap?
GORSHKOV:	_	intelligible). It's just to let
		exist. If they ask, we can send
		(unintelligible).
COONEY:	Uh huh. It's s	imply a, a means for people to know
		. It's not a big
MALLON:	Gotcha. Gotcha	
LEETH:	So there's no,	there's not a formal name to it? I
	mean there's no	t a real
GORSHKOV:	It's, ah, it's,	ah, just official name we can
	change it.	
	(Laughter)	
GORSHKOV:	We don't (unint	elligible).
LEETH:	But that's Tech	Control you told me? Or Tech
GORSHKOV:		(in Russian), Tech-Ne Tru
		s "We don't rub them, we rub these"
COONEY:	Ah, uh huh.	•
COONEY:	Uh huh.	

FD-302a (Rev 10-6-95)

LEETH:

Yeah.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4 ,On 11/10/2000 ,Page 160
GORSHKOV:	This is (unintelligible). It's a play on words.
COONEY:	Aw.
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible).
MALLON:	Uh hum.
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible).
COONEY:	(Unintelligible). Tech-Ne-Tru.
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible). Tech-Ne-Tru. I rub others.
COONEY:	That's fine.
LEETH:	(Unintelligible).
GORSHKOV:	It's, ah, a play on words.
IVANOV:	I like, ah, words play.
GORSHKOV:	I like Tech-Ne-Tru, why not, why not its like
	technical (unintelligible). But
LEETH:	Are all these people that, that work for you are
	they, that make the tools are they in Chelyabinsk?.
GORSHKOV:	They work for Tech-Ne-Tru.
LEETH:	Are they in Chelyabinsk or are they spread out all
	over Russia.
GORSHKOV:	They're in Chelyabinsk.
LEETH:	Are they? Okay.
GORSHKOV:	It's ah very difficult to work with people that are
	(unintelligible).

Continuation of FD-302 ofTa	pe #4
GORSHKOV:	They can work for you, but (unintelligible).
LEETH:	Exactly. So they, that, that picture that you
	sent, ah, is that your office, office space?
IVANOV:	(Unintelligible).
GORSHKOV:	(In Russian) (Unintelligible) Here, take this
	(unintelligible).
MALLON:	Did you want to finish this tonight?
LEETH:	Do you, do you guys want to, ah, we can, you wanna
	work on this later? I mean I think we've got
	enough information, ah, Ray's gotta leave out
	tonight.
MALLON:	I need to walk my dog.
LEETH:	We can, we can work on this this weekend. I mean
	if you don't wanna
GORSHKOV:	Ah
* * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
TAPE #7	
GORSHKOV:	actually, informationwhat kind of information
	do you want? We can give you some
	(unintelligible). You can (unintelligible). It
	will be a real big (unintelligible).
LEETH:	Mmm.
GORSHKOV:	just company, just the companies.

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape	#4	, On 11/10/2000 , Page 162
LEETH:		Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:		Ah, is, ah, ah, you can just	to show what we can
		you can (unintelligible) and	show, ah, web hosting
		companies (unintelligible) ar	nd tomorrow, what we'll
		(unintelligible).	
LEETH:		Okay. All right. That's fir	ne. I know you quys
		are tired.	
GORSHKOV:		Actually	
LEETH:		So you guys got, you, you set	up vour own account
		in, in C.T.S. I mean you set	
IVANOV:		Hmm?	,, coc ap 1000 amon
LEETH:		Got your own account in C.T.S	: ? Ah C T S the
~~~~ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		company you were talking about	
IVANOV:		(In Russian) I don't understa	
			ma.
: VONAVI		(Unintelligible) C.T.S.	
GORSHKOV:		(In Russian) (Unintelligible)	account in C.T.S.
IVANOV:		(In Russian) (Unintelligible)	•
GORSHKOV:		If you got, ah, ah, stolen cr	redit card, you can
		open an account there.	
LEETH:		Yeah. But I mean you gotta,	you got a sole
		account. Yeah.	

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	1/10/2000 , Page 163
GORSHKOV:	We got this account by stolen cre	dit card, but only
	for hacking purposes.	
COONEY:	Purposes.	
GORSHKOV:	purposes.	
LEETH:	Yeah, yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	And once you find the holes there	(unintelligible).
LEETH:	But when you set up an account li	ke at C.T.S. were
	you you the, I mean do, are you t	he only one that's
	using it or do you, you let other	people, other
	people use it or, how does that w	ork?
GORSHKOV:	Ah, this account only, ah, for th	e work of Alexey,
	he opened it and he, I don't need	it. But no,
	actually, ah, I don't, ah, I can'	t call me hacker.
	I never was a hacker and never wi	ll be hacker
LEETH:	Okay.	
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible) but, you know, i	t's not, ah,
	hacking actually, a part of busin	ess.
LEETH:	Yeah exactly.	
CW:	Uh, huh. Yeah.	
LEETH:	That's why we're here.	
GORSHKOV:	Hacker is, ah, hacker will broke	the system, that
	is powerful and secure businessma	n
	(unintelligible).	

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000, Page 164
Œ₩:	Security cons	ıltant.
GORSHKOV:	No. I don't.	••
	(Laughter)	
GORSHKOV:	I, I (Unin	celligible).
COONEY:	(Unintelligib)	le).
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligib)	le) consult (unintelligible).
	(Laughter)	
GORSHKOV:	It's not (Uni	ntelligible). I prefer to work with
	it. (Unintel	ligible).
Leeth:	Uh hum. It's	a business.
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligib	le) occupation.
LEETH:	It's a busines	ss. You bet. And it's growing.
GORSHKOV:	Yes.	•
LEETH:	It's growing.	
GORSHKOV:	Very very (un:	intelligible).
COONEY:	How many firms	s like yours, or Konteras, are there
	like yours?	
GORSHKOV:	I don't know,	actually. I think in Chelyabinsk,
	it's the only	one. (Unintelligible) in Moscow
	there are seve	eral. But I don't know what they do.
LEETH:	In Ch	
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligib)	Le).
COONEY:	in Chelyabi	insk, there's only one?

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	, On 11/10/2000 , Page 165
GORSHKOV:	Yes.	
COONEY:	You guys?	
GORSHKOV:	In Chelya	binsk, I think, inah, yes, ah big, ah,
	big city,	Petersburg. It's, ah, (unintelligible).
	I think t	here are no such (unintelligible). In
	Moscow, t	here are. Definitely, there are. I don't
	know how	they work or what they know, but they're,
	ah, some	of them. In Vladivostok, I know
	there's	.they, ah, do something (unintelligible).
COONEY:	Tough bus	iness.
LEETH:	There's a	lot of, lot of people in it though.
	That's th	e problem. That's one of the problems.
	There's a	lot of knowledgeable folks.
GORSHKOV:	Ah there	are a lot of but, ah, the problem of these
	people is	they are not, how do you say
	(unintell	igible).
	(In Russi	an)
COONEY:	There's n	o union or organization, associations.
GORSHKOV:	They do n	otThere are no organization of most of
	them.	
COONEY:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	Because o	f, ah, hackers (unintelligible).
COONEY:	(Unintell	igible).

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	,On 11/10/2000 ,Page 166
GORSHKOV:	They work a l	ot.
LEETH:	Uh huh.	
GORSHKOV:	You can give,	ah, (unintelligible) such a
	(unintelligib	le).
CW:	Is this one,	one of your tools?
MALLON:	Super Scan?	
IVANOV:	(Unintelligib	le). (Simultaneous conversations)
GORSHKOV:	It's simple f	or a scanner, it's beautiful, but
MALLON:	(Unintelligib	le).
IVANOV:	First of all,	I must to know what (unintelligible).
CW:	Uh hum.	
MALLON:	Right, right.	
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligib	le).
IVANOV:	(In Russian)	Well, yes (unintelligible).
MALLON:	Oh.	
LEETH:	You guys have	any more questions for these guys?
CW:	You guys, tha	t, is that the scanner you use a lot
	or different	software is that your favorite?
GORSHKOV:	No, it's, ah,	(unintelligible).
IVANOV:	(Simultaneous	conversations) (Unintelligible).
GORSHKOV:	There are a l	ot of such scanners.
CW:	In-Map is the	one we usually use.

Continuation of FD-302 of Tape	#4	,On 11/10/2000 ,Page 167
GORSHKOV:	And we don't like such scan	ners because we don't
	need such scanners.	
CW:	Right, right.	
GORSHKOV:	We can easily take it from	the net. Why
	(unintelligible).	
CW:	Right, right.	
IVANOV:	If we can, ah, if we can fi	nd something why we must
	develop it.	
CW:	Exactly. Why reinvent the	wheel?
LEETH:	All right. You guys tired?	
GORSHKOV:	No. When we work, we (unin	telligible)
	(Laughter)	
GORSHKOV:	Because we work by night.	
	(Unintelligible).	
GORSHKOV:	(unintelligible), ah, co	ndition.
LEETH:	Yeah, yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	Can I say condition?	
CW:	Uh hum.	
MALLON:	Uh hum.	
	(Unintelligible).	
IVANOV:	(Unintelligible) condition	(unintelligible).
GORSHKOV:	(Unintelligible). Especial	ly if you got a new
	project and you have to lea	rn

FD-302a (Rev 10-6-95)

Continuation of FD-302 of	Tape #4	On 11/10/2000 , Page 168
LEETH:	Right.	
GORSHKOV:	quickly	
LEETH:	Right.	
GORSHKOV:	You work, wor	k, work. In the U.S., peoples work
COONEY:	You'll sleep	well tonight.
	(Laughter)	
LEETH:	Have one shot	of Vodka and
	(Laughter)	
LEETH:	you sleep	well. You guys wanna head over?
IVANOV:	You really no	t drink. We drink because ah don't,
	don't have so	mething to do at airport.
LEETH:	Uh huh.	
	(Simultaneous	conversations)
GORSHKOV:	So nothing to	do. Absolutely.
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	We are know e	very
IVANOV:	And nothing t	o do in the airplane.
GORSHKOV:	corner of	airport, and just walk there, back
	there	
	(Laughter)	
LEETH:	Yeah.	
GORSHKOV:	And just (uni	ntelligible).